

3 Environmental Analysis, Impacts, and Mitigation

3.1 Introduction to Environmental Analysis

This section provides an overview of the environmental analysis and presents the format for the environmental analysis in each topical section.

3.1.1 Organization of Issue Areas

Chapter 3 provides an analysis of impacts for those environmental topics that the County determined could result in “significant impacts,” based on preparation of an Initial Study and review by the County’s Environmental Evaluation Committee and responses received during the scoping process, including the NOP review period and public scoping meeting. Sections 3.2 through 3.15 discuss the environmental impacts that may result with approval and implementation of the project, and where impacts are identified, recommends mitigation measures that, when implemented, would reduce significant impacts to a level less than significant. Each environmental issue area in Chapter 3 contains a description of the following:

- The environmental setting as it relates to the specific issue
- The regulatory framework governing that issue
- The threshold of significance (from Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines)
- The methodology used in identifying and considering the issues
- An evaluation of the project-specific impacts and identification of mitigation measures
- A determination of the level of significance after mitigation measures are implemented
- The identification of any residual significant impacts following mitigation

3.1.2 Format of the Impact Analysis

This analysis presents the potential impacts that could occur under the project along with any supporting mitigation requirements. Each section identifies the resulting level of significance of the impact using the terminology described below following the application of the proposed mitigation. The section includes an explanation of how the mitigation measure(s) reduces the impact in relation to the applied threshold of significance. If the impact remains significant (i.e., at or above the threshold of significance), additional discussion is provided to disclose the implications of the residual impact and indicate why no mitigation is available or why the applied mitigation does not reduce the impact to a less than significant level.

Changes that would result from the project were evaluated relative to existing environmental conditions within the project site as defined in Chapter 2 and illustrated on Figure 2-2 (Chapter 2). Existing environmental conditions are based on the time at which the NOP was published on July 26, 2021. In evaluating the significance of these changes, this EIR applies thresholds of significance that have been developed using: (1) criteria discussed in the CEQA Guidelines; (2) criteria based on factual or

scientific information; and (3) criteria based on regulatory standards of local, state, and/or federal agencies. Mechanisms that could cause impacts are discussed for each issue area.

This EIR uses the following terminology to denote the significance of environmental impacts of the project:

- *No impact* indicates that the construction, operation, and maintenance of the project would not have any direct or indirect effects on the environment. It means no change from existing conditions. This impact level does not need mitigation.
- A *less than significant impact* is one that would not result in a substantial or potentially substantial adverse change in the physical environment. This impact level does not require mitigation, even if feasible, under CEQA.
- A *significant impact* is defined by CEQA Section 21068 as one that would cause “a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project.” Levels of significance can vary by project, based on the change in the existing physical condition. Under CEQA, mitigation measures or alternatives to the project must be provided, where feasible, to reduce the magnitude of significant impacts.
- An *unmitigable significant impact* is one that would result in a substantial or potentially substantial adverse effect on the environment, and that could not be reduced to a less than significant level even with any feasible mitigation. Under CEQA, a project with significant and unmitigable impacts could proceed, but the lead agency would be required to prepare a “statement of overriding considerations” in accordance with State CEQA Guidelines California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 15093, explaining why the lead agency would proceed with the project in spite of the potential for significant impacts.