



# Imperial County Planning & Development Services Planning / Building

## NOTICE OF INTENT

**Jim Minnick**  
DIRECTOR

**NOTICE OF INTENT IS HERBY GIVEN** for the preparation of a  Negative Declaration  Mitigated Negative Declaration for Initial Study #20-0018 for Lot Merger #00147/Seeley Fire Facility and Cooling Center pursuant to the *California Environmental Quality Act* and the County of Imperial's *Rules and Regulations to Implement California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as amended*.

**LEAD AGENCY:** Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department (ICPDS)

**APPLICANT:** Imperial County Executive Office and Imperial County Workforce and Economic Development

**PROJECT LOCATION:** 1862 W. Worthington Road, Seeley; further identified as APN 051-241-006-000

The project site  is  is not listed on any list under Government Code Section 65962.5.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** The project includes the CEQA review of the proposed Seeley Fire Facility and Cooling Center (Initial Study #20-0018) and Lot Merger (MERG#00147) application. The merger was required to join three lots within the parcel to have enough area for the proposed development. The scope of work of the facility includes construction of a 3,725 sq. ft. fire station and an 801 sq. ft. cooling center. It also includes parking, sidewalks, and perimeter fencing, as well as the development of half road to the west boundary of lot 8, within the historically identified right-of-way.

**PUBLIC HEARING:** An Imperial County Planning Commission public hearing will be conducted on Wednesday, January 13, 2020, at 9:00 a.m. in the County Administration Center (Board Room) located at 940 Main Street, El Centro, CA, 92243 to consider the adoption of the proposed Negative Declaration, in conjunction with the proposed project.

**COMMENT PERIOD:** 11/23/2020 to 12/23/2020 at 5:00 p.m.

Comments will be accepted for the proposed project during this period. You may submit comments by mail, fax or email at [Dianarobinson@co.imperial.ca.us](mailto:Dianarobinson@co.imperial.ca.us). Only comments submitted during the specified period will be considered unless otherwise allowed under CEQA.

**DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY:** All required documents for the project are available at the ICPDS office located at 801 Main St. El Centro, CA 92243 during normal working hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Sincerely,

Jim Minnick, Director  
Planning & Development Services

**RECEIVED**

NOV 25 2020

IMPERIAL COUNTY  
CLERK-RECORDER

**POSTED**

NOV 25 2020

IMPERIAL COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER  
CALIFORNIA

**POST FOR 30 DAYS**

**Cover Sheet  
Assessment Form  
(County of Imperial)**

Initial Study # 20-0018 (and Lot Merger #00147) Date: 11/20/2020

Project type/name: Seeley Fire Facility and Cooling Center

Applicant's name: Imperial County Executive Office and Imperial County Workforce and Economic Development

Applicant's address: 1862 W. Worthington Rd., Seeley

Name of person preparing Initial Study: Diana Robinson, Planner III

Signature of person preparing Initial Study: 

**I. Project Information**

- a. Assessor's Parcel Number: 051-241-006-000
- b. Street address: Evan Hewes Highway
- c. Cross street: Mt. Signal Avenue and New River Blvd
- d. Township/Section/Range: T16S R15E
- e. Project area (acres) : +/- 8.5 Acres

**II. General Plan Consistency**

- a. General Plan Designation. Urban
- b. Is Project in an Urban area? Yes
- c. Name of Urban area. Seeley Urban Area Plan
- d. Is Project within an adopted Specific Plan area? No
- e. Name of Specific Plan area. N/A
- f. Existing zoning. R-1 (Low Density Residential)
- g. Proposed zoning, if any. N/A
- h. Adjacent zoning. R-1, M-2, C-2 and A-2

- i. Is proposal consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning? Yes
- j. Is proposal compatible with existing or surrounding zoning or can it be made compatible? Yes
- k. Is the proposal consistent with a Specific Plan for the area? N/A
- l. Is the proposal compatible with existing plans and planned surrounding land uses or can it be made compatible? Yes
- m. Is the proposal consistent with the land use designation and policies of the 1993 General Plan? Yes

**Comments:** (if any)

None.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---