Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Technical Report

Dogwood Geothermal Energy Project Heber 2 Solar Energy Project Heber Field Company Geothermal Wells & Pipeline Project

Prepared for: Imperial County Planning & Development Services

July 16, 2024



Innovative solutions for a complex world



Table of Contents

SECTION 1	Introduction1-1
1.1	Project Overview 1-1
1.2	Construction Activities 1-5
1.3	Operation Activities 1-8
SECTION 2	Existing Conditions2-1
2.1	Criteria Air Pollutants 2-1
2.2	Toxic Air Contaminants
2.3	Other Issues of Concern 2-7
2.4	Greenhouse Gas 2-7
2.5	Sensitive Receptors
SECTION 3	Regulatory Framework3-1
3.1	Federal
3.2	State
3.3	Regional
SECTION 4	Environmental Impacts4-1
4.1	Methodology 4-1
4.2	Thresholds of Significance 4-4
4.3	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures 4-5
SECTION 5	References5-1



List of Tables

Table 1.	Dogwood Project Area of Disturbance Estimates1	-2
Table 2.	Project Construction Process/Phasing1	-5
Table 3.	Project Construction Equipment List by Project Activity1	-6
Table 4.	Construction Vehicle Trips1	-7
Table 5.	State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards 2	-2
Table 6.	Attainment Status – Imperial Valley Portion of the SSAB 2	-3
Table 7.	Global Warming Potential for Selected Greenhouse Gases 2	-8
Table 8.	Sensitive Receptors in Proximity to Project Components 2	-9
Table 9.	Project-Specific Isopentane Emission Factors 4	-3
Table 10.	ICAPCD Daily Operational Emission Thresholds 4	-5
Table 11.	ICAPCD Daily Construction Emission Thresholds4	-5
Table 12.	Unmitigated Project Construction-Generated Emissions4	-7
Table 13.	Mitigated Project Construction-Generated Emissions 4	-7
Table 14.	Isopentane Emission Estimate 4	-8
Table 15.	Unmitigated Project Operational Emissions 4	-9
Table 16.	Estimated Project Construction GHG Emissions	16
Table 17.	Proposed Project Amortized Annual GHG Emissions	17

Attachments

Attachment A. CalEEMod Air Quality and GHG Emission Data



SECTION 1 Introduction

Catalyst Environmental Solutions Corporation (Catalyst) has prepared this report to evaluate the potential for impacts related to air quality and greenhouse gas (GHG) resulting from implementation of the proposed Dogwood Geothermal Energy Project, Heber 2 Parasitic Solar Project, and the Heber Field Company Geothermal Wells and Pipeline Project (collectively, the Project) in the Imperial County, California. This report includes an evaluation of potential impacts associated with construction and operational air emissions and whether Project-induced emissions are in excess of standards established by the applicable local jurisdiction (i.e., Imperial County Air Pollution Control District). Site-specific construction and operations activity information used for air emissions models are based on information provided by ORMAT.

1.1 Project Overview

1.1.1 Project Location and Description

The Project entails the development of a new 25 megawatt (MW; net generation) geothermal power plant supported by a 7 MW parasitic solar energy facility (Dogwood Project); a 15 MW parasitic solar energy facility for the existing Heber 2 geothermal plant (Heber 2 Parasitic Solar Project); and, up to six geothermal production wells, one injection well, and supporting pipeline segment (Heber Field Company Wells & Pipeline Project). Proposed facilities include:

- Dogwood Project (OrHeber 3, LLC) CUP No. 23-0020
 - One (1) Integrated Two Level Unit (ITLU) Air Cooled ORMAT Energy Converter (OEC) generating unit
 - Two (2) 20,000-Gallon Isopentane Tanks for Motive Fluid Storage
 - One (1) Project substation for transmission to the grid
 - Ancillary and auxiliary facilities (including, compressed air system and fire prevention system)
 - A seven (7) megawatt (MW) solar photovoltaic field dedicated to the Dogwood geothermal plant
 - o Interconnecting cable line from Dogwood solar facilities to Dogwood geothermal plant
- Heber 2 Parasitic Solar Energy Facilities (Second Imperial Geothermal Company) CUP No. 23-0021
 - A fifteen (15) MW solar photovoltaic field dedicated to the Heber 2 geothermal plant
 - o Interconnecting cable line from Heber 2 solar facilities to Heber 2 geothermal plant
- Wells and Pipeline (Heber Field Company, LLC) CUP No. 23-0022
 - Up to six (6) new production wells (3 sited, 3 unsited)



- One (1) new injection well
- Brine pipelines

Proposed developments would occur on APN 054-250-31; APN 059-020-001; APN 054-250-017, near the existing geothermal energy complex located at 855 Dogwood Road, Heber, California. The Project Site(s) is within the Imperial County Geothermal Overlay Zone that allows for Major Geothermal Projects to be permitted via a Conditional use Permit (CUP) process (Imperial County General Plan; Renewable Energy and Transmission Element of County of Imperial General Plan, 2015).

photovoltaic field exclusively dedicated to the Heber 2 geothermal plant.

The Project would rely on fluid from the existing well field and new production wells proposed by the Heber Field Company (HFC), which owns and operates the wells that service the Heber 2, Heber South, and Goulds 2 facilities. Three new production wells will be split between two locations (two in APN 059-020-001 and one in APN 054-250-017), and a 1000-foot brine pipeline would be constructed in the solar field (APN 059-020-001). HFC also proposes to utilize the existing available injection capacity from an existing well on-site and build one new injection well that would be installed adjacent to the Dogwood geothermal facility. HFC would install new connections and pipeline segments to connect the Project with the new and existing well system. The total project area of disturbance from the proposed development is approximately 124 acres as summarized in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Dogwood Project Area of Disturbance Estimates

Facility	Disturbance (Acres)
Geothermal Energy Facilities and Project Substation	5.0 acres
Solar Field and Connection Line	~ 95 acres
Production and Injection Wells and Connecting Pipeline	~ 24 acres
TOTAL	124 acres

1.1.2 Dogwood Geothermal Energy Project

1.1.3 Geothermal Production and Injection Wells

Production wells flow geothermal fluid to the surface, and injection wells are used to inject geothermal fluid from the energy plant back into the geothermal reservoir. Injection ensures the longevity and renewability of the geothermal resource. The Applicant proposes to develop up to six geothermal production wells, all within the Imperial County Geothermal Overlay Zone. The location of three of the production wells are known at this time and the remaining wells will be sited within the same APNs 059-020-001 and 054-250-017. The injection well would be installed within the HGEC, immediately next to the proposed Dogwood OEC (separate CUP application).

During well installation, each well pad would accommodate a drilling rig, support equipment, portable bathroom, baker tanks, and project vehicles. Each well pad would be prepared to create a level pad for the drill rig and a graded surface for the support equipment. Each well would be drilled with a rotary drill rig similar to those used to drill oil and gas wells. The production wells would each be drilled and cased to a design depth of approximately 5,000 feet. Following the cementing of the surface casing, blowout prevention equipment (BOPE) would be installed. During drilling operations, a minimum of



10,000 gallons of cool water and 12,000 pounds of inert, non-toxic barite (barium sulfate) would be stored at each well pad (as appropriate for the type of material) for use in preventing uncontrolled well flow, as necessary.

Once the well is completed, a well head will be installed and connected to the pipeline network to convey geothermal fluids. A motor control building would be installed next to the well head to provide system controls, sensors, and treatment systems. During normal well field operations, total geothermal fluid production rates are expected to be approximately 15,150 gallons per minute (gpm) at 280°F. Injection would occur at the same approximate levels (i.e., 15,150 gpm) but at lower temperatures of near 170°F.

1.1.4 Geothermal Fluid Pipeline

Approximately 4,500 feet (0.85 miles) of geothermal fluid production pipeline are proposed for installation on APN 059-020-001. This new segment of pipeline will connect to an existing pipeline collection point that will deliver the geothermal brine to the proposed Dogwood OEC. The well on APN 054-250-017 would connect to the existing pipeline segment adjacent to the proposed well pad site. The pipeline would be used to transport geothermal fluid from the production wells to the power plants.

Construction of the pipeline network would begin by vertically auguring nominal 24-inch diameter holes into the ground about three to five feet deep at approximately 30-foot intervals along the pipeline route. Two holes for pipeline supports would be drilled at each anchor point. Dirt removed from the holes would be cast on the ground adjacent to each hole. The steel pipe "sleeper" would be placed in the hole and concrete poured to fill the hole slightly above the ground surface.

After the anchor points are installed, approximately 30-foot-long steel pipe sections would be delivered and placed along the pipeline construction corridor. A small crane would lift the pipe sections onto the pipe supports and temporary pipe jacks so that they could be welded together into a solid pipeline. Once welded and the welds tested, the pipe would be jacketed with insulation and an aluminum sheath (appropriately colored, likely covert green, to blend with the area).

When completed, the top of the new geothermal pipelines would average three to four feet above the ground surface to accommodate terrain undulations and to facilitate movement of wildlife. Electrical power and instrumentation cables for the wells would then either be installed in steel conduit constructed along the pipe or hung by cable from pipe along the pipeline route.

1.1.5 ORMAT Energy Converter (OEC)

The proposed OEC unit is a two-turbine combined cycle binary unit, operating on a subcritical Rankine cycle, with isopentane as the motive fluid. The OEC system consists of a generator, turbines, a vaporizer, Air-Cooled condensers, preheaters and recuperators, and an evacuation skid/vapor recovery maintenance unit (VRMU) for purging and maintenance events. The design capacity for the unit is 25 MW (net).

1.1.6 Isopentane Storage Tanks

Two double-walled 20,000-gallon above-ground storage tanks (AST) will be installed for the Project. Numerous safety and fire prevention measures will be installed on/near the isopentane tanks, including:



- Concrete foundations with blast walls separating the tanks from the OEC
- An automated water suppression system.
- Concrete containment areas.
- Two flame detectors, which will immediately detect any fire and immediately trigger the automatic fire suppression system.
- A gas detector, which will immediately detect any isopentane leak and notify the control room (manned by 24/7).

1.1.7 Cooling Tower

A cooling tower array will perform air-cooling operations of the geothermal fluid. The cooling tower will include a series of heat-absorbing evaporators and condensers to capture and transfer heat stored in the geothermal fluid. The dry cooling tower array does not result in water evaporation, hence there are no associated emissions of particulate matter associated with operation of these types of units as there are with wet cooling towers.

1.1.8 Supplemental Solar Energy Plants

An approximately 7 MW (net) solar photovoltaic field would provide power directly to the Dogwood Project to offset auxiliary/parasitic loads during operations. A 15 MW solar field would also provide supplemental/auxiliary energy to the existing Heber 2 geothermal plant. The solar arrays will effectively reduce the margin between gross and net geothermal energy generation, allowing for the more efficient generation of geothermal energy.

1.1.9 Project Substation

The Project will require a new substation to step up the low voltage electrical energy generated at the Dogwood geothermal unit to the higher voltage required for commercial transmission. No upgrades to off-site transmission facilities are necessary and the new Dogwood substation will connect directly to the existing point of interconnection with the Imperial Irrigation District (IID) controlled grid. The substation will include a 13.8 kV circuit breaker to protect the electric generator, a minimum of 80 megavolt ampere 13.8 kV/115 kV transformer, and 115 kV potential and current transformers for metering and system protection. A main control building would contain instrumentation and telecommunications equipment.

The substation footprint would measure up to 145 feet by 66 feet and would be surrounded by an eightfoot-tall chain link fence with vehicle and personnel access gates. The surface of the substation would be covered by gravel and the substation equipment would be placed onto concrete foundations.

1.1.10 Water Use and Source

Water required for facility construction activities, including grading and dust control, will be obtained from the applicant's existing contract with IID. Up to 5,000 gallons per day (gpd) of water will be required for the first 2-4 months of development of the facility. Approximately 2,000 gpd will be consumed during the remaining development schedule of approximately 12-18 months. Thus,



approximately 1.1 million gallons of water (10.1 acre-feet) will be used on-site during construction. Once operating, up to approximately 325 gpd (0.36 acre-feet per year) of non-potable water will be required and provided by the applicant's existing IID contract/allocation. Water required for well drilling would typically average 50,000 gpd. Water necessary for these activities would be obtained from local irrigation canals in conformance with IID requirements. Alternatively, a temporary pipeline from the respective irrigation canal could be used for water delivery to well sites. Any temporary pipeline would be laid on the surface immediately adjacent to the access road. The Project OEC is air cooled and will not require additional water resources. The Project will not require additional water from the Imperial Irrigation District (IID) for operations and will be covered under the existing contract.

1.2 Construction Activities

Construction of the proposed facilities is anticipated to take up to 35 months, beginning in the first quarter 2025. Facility construction would include site preparation activities, but no demolition of existing structures/buildings will occur. **Table 2** below provides a breakdown of the proposed construction schedule by phase and duration. Some construction activities will occur concurrently as facilities are installed simultaneously, as noted by the Phase Duration column not summing Activity Durations perfectly.

Construction Phase	Construction Activity	Activity Duration	Phase Duration
	Construction Kick-off/Staging	1 week	
	Demolition/Site Clearing	1 week	
Site Preparation	Site Preparation/Rough Grading	2 weeks	2 months
	Fine/Pad Grading, Excavation for Underground Conduit/Utilities, Stormwater	1 month	
	Well Pad Construction	3 months	
	Parasitic Solar Construction	6 months	
Project Construction	Gen-tie distribution cable	4 months	16 months
	OEC Installation	6 months	
	Landscaping, Lighting, Architectural Finishes	1 month	
	Well Drilling and Completion	4 months	
Well Drilling & Pipeline Interconnection	· Flow Testing 4 mont		12 months
	Pipeline Install and Interconnection	4 Months	
	Project substation Development	3 months	
Substation Development & Interconnection	Interconnection with grid	2 weeks	4 months
	Testing	2 weeks	
Testing & Operational	Testing Phase	2 weeks	1 month
Testing & Operational	All Facilities Operational	2 weeks	THIONUN

Table 2. Project Construction Process/Phasing



ORMAT has estimated construction equipment and usage for the Project based on experience with similar projects as provided in **Table 3**. Similarly, based on construction activities associated with similar projects, ORMAT anticipates that up to 15 workers would be required for construction of Project components. Vehicle and truck trip generation rates for the Project provided in **Table 4** are estimated assuming roughly 3 trips/worker (assumed 50 percent of 15 workers leave/return once during the day) for a total of 46 trips, and 2 trips/vehicle (in/out) for vendor and haul trips. Trip lengths consist of default CalEEMod values with exception of vendors for delivery of Project equipment during construction, with deliveries of solar panels, geothermal equipment, etc. assumed to originate at Port of Long Beach, approximately 225 miles from Project site.

Construction Phase	Equipment ¹	Quantity ¹	Engine Horsepower ¹	No. Days Used ¹	No. Hours Operated Per Day ¹
Site Preparation	Heavy Duty Trucks	3	402	30	5
(Plant Site and Solar	Excavator	1	97	30	8
Fields)	Roller	2	200	30	8
(2 Months)	Light-Duty Truck	8	350	30	4
	Aerial Man Lifts	8	63	160	6
	Excavator	1	97	40	8
-	Crane	2	231	160	6
-	Forklift	1	89	40	8
-	Forklift	6	89	245	8
	Generator Set	1	84	320	8
Project Construction	Grader	1	187	30	8
(16 Months)	Heavy Duty Trucks	2	402	90	8
	Rubber Tired Loader	1	203	30	8
	Backhoe	1	97	30	8
	Welders	15	46	245	6
	Light Duty Truck	1	350	40	4
	Light Duty Truck	15	350	245	4
	Light tower	2	27	90	12
	Drill Rig	1	500	180	24
	Rig Mud Pump	1	500	180	24
	Rig Generator	1	415	180	24
Well Drilling and	Heavy Duty Trucks (Mob/Demob)	8	450	24	8
Pipe Interconnection	Crane	2	231	24	5
(12 Months)	Backhoe	1	97	24	6
	Forklift	1	89	24	6
	Vacuum Truck	1	385	24	10
-	Concrete Truck	1	428	3	4
-	Concrete Pumper	1	100	3	4
-	Light Duty Truck	4	350	24	4
	Crane	1	231	80	8
Substation	Drill/Bore Rig	1	221	80	8
Development and	Aerial Lift	2	63	80	8

Table 3. Project Construction Equipment List by Project Activity



Construction Phase	Equipment ¹	Quantity ¹	Engine Horsepower ¹	No. Days Used ¹	No. Hours Operated Per Day ¹
Interconnection	Heavy Duty Trucks	2	402	20	4
(4 Months)	(Delivery)	2	402	20	4
	Backhoe	1	97	14	8
	Forklift	1	89	80	8
-	Ditch Digger	1	13	20	8
	Generator Set	2	84	80	8
-	Light Duty Truck	5	350	80	4
	Generator	1	671	30	24
-	Light Tower (27 hp)	2	27	30	12
Testing	Light Tower (9 hp)	2	9	30	12
(1 Month)	Pump (115 hp)	1	115	30	24
-	Pump (415 hp)	1	415	30	24
-	Light Duty Truck	1	350	30	4

Notes:

¹ Project equipment and use provided by ORMAT based on experience with construction of similar projects.

Table 4. Construction Vehicle Trips

Construction Phase	Trip Type	Number of One-Way Trips per Day	One-Way Trip Length (miles) ²
	Workers ¹	46	10.2
Site Preparation	Vendor	10	11.9
	Haul	8	20
	Workers ¹	46	10.2
Project Construction	Vendor	40	225
	Haul	2	20
	Workers ¹	46	10.2
Well Drilling and Pipe Interconnection	Vendor	10	11.9
Interconnection	Haul	0	20
Substation Development and	Workers ¹	46	10.2
Substation Development and Interconnection	Vendor	10	11.9
Interconnection	Haul ³	0	20
	Workers ¹	46	10.2
Testing	Vendor	4	11.9
	Haul	0	20

Notes:

¹ Trip generation rate is calculated at roughly 3 trips/worker (assumed 50 percent of 15 workers leave/return once during the day) for a total of 46 trips, and 2 trips/vehicle (in/out) for vendor and haul trips.

² Trip lengths consist of default CalEEMod values with exception of vendors for delivery of Project equipment during construction, with deliveries of solar panels, geothermal equipment, etc. assumed to originate at Port of Long Beach, approximately 225 miles from Project site.

³ All truck trips are assigned to vendor deliveries.



1.3 Operation Activities

Once the proposed Project is complete, the site will be staffed with 1-2 onsite employees. The proposed Project would require routine maintenance and unscheduled maintenance as needed. The parasitic solar facilities will be monitored remotely with visitation on an as-needed basis, and security personnel will perform periodic site visits. Any required planned maintenance activities would generally consist of equipment inspection and replacement and would be scheduled to avoid peak load periods. Any unplanned maintenance would be responded to as needed, depending on the event.

Emergency response equipment at the site includes the following equipment and estimated operational hours per year:

- 400 kilowatt (kW) (540 horsepower [hp]) Emergency Diesel Generator with and estimate operation duration of 50 hours per year, and
- 300 hp Emergency Diesel Fire Pump with an estimated operation duration of 40 hours per year.

Both emergency engines will meet a minimum of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Exhaust Emission Standards for Tier 3 nonroad compression-ignition engines.

The proposed substation includes new circuit breakers that would potentially be insulated with SF₆. Note that CARB amended the *Reducing Sulfur Hexafluoride Emissions from Gas Insulated Switchgear* regulation in 2021 to further reduce GHG emissions from gas-insulated equipment. Key provisions of the amended regulation include a phase-out schedule for new sulfur hexafluoride gas-insulated equipment (January 1, 2025 for voltage less than 145 kV, January 1, 2029 for voltage between 145 and 245 kV, and January 1, 2031 for voltage greater than 245 kB). In the case that SF₆ alternative technology is available and approved prior to construction, the proposed Project would not require SF₆ for project operations. For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that a maximum of three circuit breakers will be insulated with SF₆ gas required at the site. Consistent with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standard for new equipment leakage, a 0.5% per year leakage rate is assumed (United States Environmental Protection Agency [USEPA] 2016). Accordingly, an estimated 0.375 pounds of SF₆ would be released annually.



SECTION 2 Existing Conditions

The Project is located in Imperial County within the Salton Sea Air Basin (SSAB). The Imperial County portion of the SSAB is under the jurisdiction of the Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD). The SSAB encompasses the entirety of Imperial County and the southeast portion of Riverside County and is generally an arid desert region, with a significant land area located below sea level. The hot and dry conditions experienced in the region are a result of a large, semi-permanent high-pressure area that dominates the Imperial Valley and the presence of the coastal mountains to the west. The high pressure blocks most storms, except during the winter when the pressure is the weakest and tends to shift to the south.

The coastal mountains tend to block moist air from entering the valley resulting in hot temperatures during the summer and dry weather year-round. The SSAB contains relatively few major emissions sources, but may experience emissions transported from Mexicali, Mexico and from significant vehicular traffic, particularly near the two international ports of entry: Calexico West and Calexico East. Emissions sources within the SSAB consist of geothermal power generation, food processing, plaster and wallboard (gypsum) manufacturing, and other light industrial facilities.

The federal Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended, and the California Clean Air Act (CCAA) contain the primary provisions relating to air quality that apply to the Project. The EPA, CARB, and regional air districts have issued rules to implement the federal and state Clean Air Acts. The EPA uses "criteria pollutants" as indicators of air quality and has established for each of them a maximum concentration above which adverse effects on human health and the environment may occur. These threshold concentrations are called National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). One set of limits (primary standard) protects health; another set of limits (secondary standard) is intended to prevent environmental and property damage. Under the CAA, the EPA has established NAAQS for seven criteria pollutants: ozone (O_3) , respirable particulate matter (PM_{10}), fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), lead (Pb), and sulfur dioxide (SO₂). California has established State Ambient Air Quality Standards for the same criteria pollutants, plus an additional three pollutants (visibility reducing particulates, sulfates, and hydrogen sulfide $[H_2S]$). States may have standards that are more restrictive than the federal thresholds, but they cannot be less restrictive. Although more stringent, the California standards have no specific dates for attainment, unlike federal standards. Under California law, designations are made by pollutant, rather than by averaging time. A geographic area that meets or exceeds the primary standard is called an attainment area; areas that do not meet the primary standard are called nonattainment areas.

2.1 Criteria Air Pollutants

A criteria air pollutant is any air pollutant for which ambient air quality standards (criteria) have been set by the USEPA (National Ambient Air Quality Standards [NAAQS]) or California Air Resources Board (CARB) (California Ambient Air Quality Standards [CAAQS]). The presence of these pollutants in ambient air is generally due to numerous diverse and widespread sources of emissions, and air quality standards have been established for these pollutants to protect public health. Criteria pollutants include ozone



 (O_3) , fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), respirable particulate matter (PM₁₀), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), lead (Pb), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), visibility-reducing particles, sulfates, and hydrogen sulfide (H₂S).

Table 5 shows the state and federal ambient air quality standards while **Table 6** presents the attainment status of the SSAB for the state and federal standards. As shown, the Imperial County portion of the SSAB is currently designated as nonattainment for O_3 and PM_{10} under state standards. Under federal standards, the Imperial County portion of the SSAB is in nonattainment for O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$ and is in attainment for PM_{10} . The area is currently in attainment or unclassified status for CO, NO₂, and SO₂.

Pollutant	Averaging Period	California Standard	Federal Standard
Ozone (O₃)	1 hour	0.09 ppm (180 μg/m³)	Revoked
Ozone (O₃)	8 hour	0.070 ppm (137 μg/m³)	0.07 ppm (137 μg/m³)
Respirable Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	24 hour	50 μg/m³	150 μg/m³
PM ₁₀	Annual	20 μg/m³	Revoked
Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	24 hour	none	35 μg/m³
PM _{2.5}	Annual	12 μg/m³	12 μg/m³
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1 hour	20 ppm (23 mg/m³)	35 ppm (40 mg/m ³)
со	8 hour	9 ppm (10 mg/m³)	9 ppm (10 mg/m³)
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)	1 hour	0.18 ppm (339 µg/m³)	0.100 ppm (188 μg/m ³)
NO ₂	Annual	0.030 ppm (57 μg/m³)	0.053 ppm (100 μg/m ³)
Lead (Pb)	30 Day Average	1.5 μg/m³	
РЬ	Rolling three-month period, evaluated over a three-year period		0.15 μg/m ³
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	1 hour	0.25 ppm (655 μg/m³)	0.075 ppm (196 μg/m ³)
SO ₂	3 hour		0.5 ppm (1300 µg/m ³)
SO ₂	24 hour	0.04 ppm (105 μg/m³)	0.14 ppm (for certain areas)

Table 5.	State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards
----------	---



Pollutant	Averaging Period	California Standard	Federal Standard
Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	1 Hour	0.03 ppm (42 μg/m ³)	
Sulfates	24 hour	25 μg/m³	
Vinyl Chloride	24 hour	0.010 ppm (26 μg/m ³)	
Visibility-Reducing Particles	8 hour	Extinction coefficient of 0.23 per kilometer (visibility of ten miles or more due to particles when relative humidity is less than 70 percent)	

Notes: ppm = parts per million; ppb = parts per billion; mg/m³ = milligram per cubic meter; μg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter; "--" = no standard.

Table 6.	Attainment Status – Imperial Valley Portion of the SSAB
----------	---

Pollutant	California Designation	Federal Designation
Ozone (O ₃)	Nonattainment	Nonattainment
Respirable Particulate Matter (PM_{10})	Nonattainment	Attainment
Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	Attainment	Nonattainment
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
Lead (Pb)	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	Unclassified	No Federal Standards
Sulfates	Attainment	No Federal Standards
Visibility Reducing Particles	Unclassified	No Federal Standards

Source: CARB 2023

2.1.1 Ozone

 O_3 is formed in the atmosphere by a series of complex chemical reactions and transformations in the presence of sunlight. Oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and reactive organic gases (ROGs) are the principal constituents in these reactions. O_3 is a pungent, colorless, toxic gas and is a primary component of smog.



 O_3 is known as a secondary pollutant because it is formed in the atmosphere through a complex series of chemical reactions, rather than emitted directly into the air. The major sources of NO_x in California are motor vehicles and other combustion processes. The major sources of ROGs in California are motor vehicles and the evaporation of chemical solvents and fuels.

 O_3 is a strong irritating gas that can chemically burn and cause narrowing of airways, forcing the lungs and heart to work harder to provide oxygen to the body. People most likely to be affected by O_3 include the elderly, the young, athletes, and those who suffer from respiratory diseases such as asthma, emphysema, and chronic bronchitis.

2.1.2 PM₁₀

 PM_{10} , or fugitive dust, consists of particulate matter (fine dusts and aerosols) that is ten microns or smaller in aerodynamic diameter. For reference, ten microns is about one-seventh the width of a human hair. When inhaled, particles larger than 10 microns are generally caught in the nose and throat and do not enter the lungs. PM_{10} gets into the large upper branches of the lungs just below the throat, where they are caught and removed (by coughing, spitting, or swallowing).

The primary sources of PM₁₀ include dust, paved and unpaved roads, diesel exhaust, acidic aerosols, construction and demolition operations, soil and wind erosion, agricultural operations, residential wood combustion, and smoke. Secondary sources of PM₁₀ include tailpipe emissions and industrial sources. These sources have different constituents and therefore, varying effects on health. Airborne particles absorb and adsorb toxic substances and can be inhaled and lodge in the lungs. Once in the lungs, the toxic substances can be absorbed into the bloodstream and carried throughout the body. PM₁₀ concentrations tend to be lower during the winter months because meteorology greatly affects PM₁₀ levels can be high. Photochemical aerosols, formed by chemical reactions with manmade emissions, may also influence PM₁₀ concentrations.

Elevated ambient particulate levels are associated with premature death, an increased number of asthma attacks, reduced lung function, aggravation of bronchitis, respiratory disease, and cancer.

2.1.3 PM_{2.5}

PM_{2.5} is a mixture of particulate matter (fine dusts and aerosols) that is 2.5 microns or smaller in aerodynamic diameter. For reference, 2.5 micrometers is approximately 1/30 the size of a human hair, so small that several thousand of these particles could fit on the period at the end of this sentence. PM_{2.5} can travel into the deepest portions of the lungs where gas exchange occurs between the air and the bloodstream. These particles are very dangerous because the deepest portions of the lungs have no efficient mechanisms for removing them. If these particles are soluble in water, they pass directly into the bloodstream within minutes. If they are not soluble in water, they are retained deep in the lungs and can remain there permanently.

PM_{2.5} particles are emitted from activities such as industrial and residential combustion processes, wood burning, and from diesel and gasoline-powered vehicles. They are also formed in the atmosphere from gases such as SO₂, NO_x, ammonia, and volatile organic compounds that are emitted from combustion



activities, and then become particles as a result of chemical transformations in the air (secondary particles).

Exposure to PM_{2.5} increases the risks of long-term disease, including chronic respiratory disease, cancer, and increased and premature death. Other effects include increased respiratory stress and disease, decreased lung function, alterations in lung tissue and structure, and alterations in respiratory tract defense mechanisms.

2.1.4 Carbon Monoxide

CO is a common colorless, odorless, highly toxic gas. It is produced by natural and anthropogenic combustion processes. The major source of CO in urban areas is incomplete combustion of carbon containing fuels (primarily gasoline, diesel fuel, and natural gas). However, it also results from combustion processes, including forest fires and agricultural burning. Over 80 percent of the CO emitted in urban areas is contributed by motor vehicles. Ambient CO concentrations are generally higher in the winter, usually on cold, clear days and nights with little or no wind. Low wind speeds inhibit horizontal dispersion, and surface inversions inhibit vertical mixing. Traffic-congested intersections have the potential to result in localized high levels of CO. These localized areas of elevated CO concentrations are termed CO "hotspots". CO hotspots are defined as locations where ambient CO concentrations exceed the CAAQS (20 parts per million (ppm), 1-hour; 9 ppm, 8-hour).

When inhaled, CO does not directly harm the lungs; rather, it combines chemically with hemoglobin, the oxygen-transporting component of blood and diminishes the ability of blood to carry oxygen to the brain, heart, and other vital organs. Red blood cells have 220 times the attraction for CO than for oxygen. This affinity interferes with movement of oxygen to the body's tissues. Effects from CO exposure include headaches, nausea, and death. High levels of CO in a concentrated area can result in asphyxiation.

2.1.5 Nitrogen Dioxide

 NO_2 is formed in the atmosphere primarily by the rapid reaction of the colorless gas nitric oxide (NO) with atmospheric oxygen. It is a reddish-brown gas with an odor similar to that of bleach. NO_2 participates in the photochemical reactions that result in O_3 . The greatest source of NO, and subsequently NO_2 , is the high-temperature combustion of fossil fuels such as in motor vehicle engines and power plant boilers. NO_2 and NO are referred to collectively as NO_x .

NO₂ can irritate and damage the lungs, cause bronchitis and pneumonia, and lower resistance to respiratory infections such as influenza. Negative health effects are apparent after exposure to NO₂ levels as low as 0.11 ppm for a few minutes. This level of exposure may elicit or alter sensory responses. Higher concentrations (0.45 - 1.5 ppm) may cause impaired pulmonary function, increased incidence of acute respiratory disease, and difficult breathing for both bronchitis sufferers and healthy persons.

2.1.6 Lead

Lead is a bluish-gray metal that occurs naturally in small quantities. Pure lead is insoluble in water. However, some lead compounds are water soluble. Lead and lead compounds in the atmosphere often come from fuel combustion sources, such as the burning of solid waste, coal, and oils. Historically, the



largest source of lead in the atmosphere resulted from the combustion of leaded gasoline in motor vehicles. However, with the phase-out of leaded gasoline, concentrations of lead in the air have substantially decreased. Industrial sources of atmospheric lead include steel and iron factories, lead smelting and refining, and battery manufacturing. Atmospheric lead may also result from lead in entrained dust and dirt contaminated with lead.

Acute health effects of lead include gastrointestinal distress (such as colic), brain and kidney damage, and even death. Lead also has numerous chronic health effects, including anemia, central nervous system damage, reproductive dysfunction, as well as effects on blood pressure, kidney function, and vitamin D metabolism. The USEPA's Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards ranks lead as a "high concern" pollutant based on its severe chronic toxicity.

2.1.7 Sulfur Dioxide

 SO_2 is a colorless gas with a sharp, irritating odor. It can react in the atmosphere to produce sulfuric acid and sulfates, which contribute to acid deposition and atmospheric visibility reduction. It also contributes to the formation of PM_{10} . Most of the SO_2 emitted into the atmosphere is from the burning of sulfurcontaining fossil fuels by mobile sources, such as marine vessels and farm equipment, and stationary fuel combustion.

SO₂ irritates the mucous membranes of the eyes and nose, and may also affect the mouth, trachea, and lungs, causing sore throat, coughing, and breathing difficulties.

2.2 Toxic Air Contaminants

Toxic air contaminants (TACs), also referred to as hazardous air pollutants, are air pollutants (excluding O₃, CO, SO₂, and NO₂) that may reasonably be anticipated to cause cancer, developmental effects, reproductive dysfunction, neurological disorders, heritable gene mutations, or other serious or irreversible acute or chronic health effects in humans. TACs are regulated under different federal and state regulatory processes than O₃ and the other criteria air pollutants. Health effects of TACs may occur at extremely low levels, and it is typically difficult to identify levels of exposure that do not produce adverse health effects. TACs generally consist of four types: 1) organic chemicals such as benzene, dioxins, toluene, and perchloroethylene; 2) inorganic chemicals such as chlorine and arsenic; 3) fibers such as asbestos; and 4) metals such as mercury, cadmium, chromium, and nickel. These air contaminants are defined by the USEPA, the State of California, and other governmental agencies. Currently, more than 900 substances are regulated TACs under federal, state, and local regulations.

TACs are produced by a variety of sources, including industrial facilities such as refineries, chemical plants, chrome plating operations, and surface coating operations; commercial facilities such as dry cleaners and gasoline stations; motor vehicles, especially diesel-powered vehicles; and consumer products. TACs can be released as a result of normal industrial operations, as well as from accidental releases during process upset conditions.

Health effects from TACs vary with the type of pollutant, the concentration of the pollutant, the duration of exposure, and the exposure pathway. TACs usually get into the body through inhalation, though they can also be ingested or absorbed through the skin. Adverse effects on people tend to be either acute or chronic. Acute effects result from short-term, high levels of airborne toxic substances.



These effects may include nausea, skin irritation, cardiopulmonary distress, and even death. Chronic effects result from long-term, low-level exposure to airborne toxic substances. Effects can range from relatively minor to life-threatening. Less serious chronic effects include skin rashes, dry skin, coughing throat irritation, and headaches. More serious chronic effects include lung, liver, and kidney damage; nervous system damage; miscarriages; genetic and birth defects; and cancer. Many TACs can have both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health effects.

2.3 Other Issues of Concern

2.3.1 Odors

Odors are substances in the air that pose a nuisance to nearby land uses such as residences, schools, daycare centers, and hospitals. Odors are typically not a health concern but can interfere with the use and enjoyment of nearby property. Odors may be generated by a wide variety of sources. The odor associated with decomposing organic material (such as plants removed from ponds and left to decay) may also be considered to be objectionable. Objectionable odors created by a facility or operation may cause a nuisance or annoyance to adjacent populations.

2.3.2 Fugitive Dust

Fugitive dust refers to solid particulate matter that becomes airborne because of wind action and human activities. Fugitive dust particles are mainly soil minerals, but can also be sea salt, pollen, spores, tire particles. About half of fugitive dust particles (by weight) are larger than 10 microns and settle quickly. Fugitive dust particles 10 microns or smaller (i.e., PM₁₀) can remain airborne for weeks.

The primary sources of fugitive dust are grading and excavation operations associated with road and building construction, aggregate mining and processing operations, and sanitary landfill operations. Unpaved roadways are also a large source of fugitive dust. Other sources of fugitive dust include demolition activities, unpaved roadway shoulders, vacant lots, material stockpiles, abrasive blasting operations, and off-road vehicle use. The amount of fugitive dust created by such activities is dependent largely on the type of soil, type of operation taking place, size of the area, degree of soil disturbance, soil moisture content, and wind speed.

When fugitive dust particles are inhaled, they can travel easily to the deep parts of the lungs and may remain there, causing respiratory illness, lung damage, and even premature death in sensitive people. Fugitive dust may also be a nuisance to those living and working nearby. Dust blown across roadways can lead to traffic accidents by reducing visibility. Fugitive dust can soil and damage materials and property, such as fabrics, vehicles, and buildings. Particulates deposited on agricultural crops can lower crop quality and yield. Additionally, fugitive dust can lead to the spread of San Joaquin Valley Fever, a potential health hazard caused by a fungus that lives in certain soil types throughout California.

2.4 Greenhouse Gas

Recent significant changes in global climate patterns have been associated with global warming, an average increase in the temperature of the atmosphere near Earth's surface. Global warming has been attributed to the accumulation of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the atmosphere. GHGs trap heat



in the atmosphere, which in turn heats the surface of the Earth. Some GHGs occur naturally and are emitted to the atmosphere through natural processes, while others are created and emitted solely through human activities. The emission of GHGs through the combustion of fossil fuels (i.e., fuels containing carbon) in conjunction with other human activities appears to be closely associated with global warming.

The standard state definition of GHG includes six substances: carbon dioxide (CO_2); methane (CH_4); nitrous oxide (N_2O); hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs); perfluorocarbons (PFCs); and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) (CARB 2014). Tropospheric O_3 (a short-lived, not-well-mixed gas) and black carbon are also important climate pollutants. CO_2 is the most abundant GHG, and collectively CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O amount to 80 percent of GHG effects.

For each GHG, a global warming potential (GWP) has been calculated to reflect how long emissions remain in the atmosphere and how strongly energy is absorbed on a per-kilogram basis relative to CO₂. GWP is a metric that indicates the relative climate forcing of a kilogram of emissions when averaged over the period of interest (both 20-year and 100-year horizons are used for the GWPs shown in **Table 7**). To account for this higher potential, emissions of other GHGs are frequently expressed in the equivalent of CO₂, denoted as CO₂e. CO₂e is a measurement used to account for the fact that different GHGs have different potential to retain infrared radiation in the atmosphere and contribute to the greenhouse effect.

Pollutant	Lifetime (Years)	Global Warming Potential (20-Year)	Global Warming Potential (100-Year)	
Carbon Dioxide	100	1	1	
Nitrous Oxide	121	264	265	
Nitrogen Triflouride	500	12,800	16,100	
Sulfur Hexafluoride	3,200	17,500	23,500	
Perfluorocarbons	3,000-50,000	5,000-8,000	7,000-11,000	
Black Carbon	Black Carbon days to weeks		100-1,700	
Methane	12	84	28	
Hydrofluorocarbons	Uncertain	100-11,000	100-12,000	

Table 7. Global Warming Potential for Selected Greenhouse Gases

Source: CARB 2014

The primary effect of rising global concentrations of atmospheric GHG is a rise in the average global temperature of approximately 0.2 degrees Celsius per decade, determined from meteorological measurements worldwide between 1990 and 2005. Climate change modeling using emission rates shows that further warming is likely to occur given the expected rise in global atmospheric GHG concentrations from innumerable sources of GHG emissions worldwide, which would induce further changes in the global climate system during the current century.

Scientific understanding of the fundamental processes responsible for global climate change has improved over the past decade. However, there remain significant scientific uncertainties. For example, uncertainties exist in predictions of local effects of climate change, occurrence of extreme weather



events, and effects of aerosols, changes in clouds, shifts in the intensity and distribution of precipitation, and changes in oceanic circulation. Due to the complexity of the climate system, the uncertainty surrounding the implications of climate change may never be eliminated. Because of these uncertainties, there continues to be significant debate as to the extent to which increased concentrations of GHGs have caused or would cause climate change, and with respect to the appropriate actions to limit and/or respond to climate change. In addition, it may not be possible to link specific development projects to future specific climate change impacts, though estimating project-specific impacts is possible.

2.5 Sensitive Receptors

Some population groups, such as children, the elderly, and acutely and chronically ill persons are considered more sensitive to air pollution than others. Sensitive receptor locations typically include residential areas, hospitals, elder-care facilities, rehabilitation centers, daycare centers, and parks. The Project site is in a rural area surrounded by agricultural fields.

There are numerous sensitive receptors in proximity to Project components including residences and Heber Elementary School. **Table 8** summarizes the sensitive receptors in the Project area and distance to the nearest Project components.

Sensitive Receptor	Nearest Project Component	Distance to Nearest Project Component
Residence (104 E. Jasper Rd.)	Heber 2 Parasitic Solar Facility	540
Residence (600 Dogwood Rd.)	Dogwood Parasitic Facility	2,900
Residential Area (E. Fawcett Rd.)	Production Well	2,985
Heber Elementary School	Production Well	3,400
Residences (153, 185, 195 E. Cole Blvd.)	Dogwood Parasitic Facility	3,825

Table 8. Sensitive Receptors in Proximity to Project Components.



SECTION 3 Regulatory Framework

Federal, state, and local regulations and policies that may apply to the proposed Project emissions are described below.

3.1 Federal

3.1.1 Clean Air Act

The Federal Clean Air Act (CAA), passed in 1970 and last amended in 1990, is the primary federal law that governs air quality. The Federal CAA delegates primary responsibility for clean air to the U.S. EPA. The U.S. EPA develops rules and regulations to preserve and improve air quality and delegates specific responsibilities to state and local agencies. Under the act, the U.S. EPA has established the NAAQS for six criteria air pollutants that are pervasive in urban environments and for which state and national health-based ambient air quality standards have been established. Ozone, CO, NO₂, SO₂, Pb, and PM (Including both PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}) are the six criteria air pollutants. Ozone is a secondary pollutant, nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are of particular interest as they are precursors to ozone formation. In addition, national standards exist for Pb. The NAAQS standards are set at levels that protect public health with a margin of safety and are subject to periodic review and revision.

The Federal CAA requires U.S EPA to designate areas as attainment, nonattainment, or maintenance (previously nonattainment and currently attainment) for each criteria pollutant based on whether the NAAQS have been achieved. The federal standards are summarized above in **Table 5**.

3.1.2 Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases (Title 40, Part 98 of the Code of Federal Regulations)

Under Subpart DD, owners and operators of electric power system facilities with a total nameplate capacity that exceeds 17,820 lbs (7,838 kg) of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) and/or perfluorocarbons (PFCs) must report emissions of SF₆ and/or PFCs from the use of electrical transmission and distribution equipment. Owners and operators are required to collect emissions data, calculate GHG emissions, and follow the specified procedures for quality assurance, missing data, recordkeeping, and reporting per the requirements of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart DD – Electric Transmission and Distribution Equipment Use.

3.2 State

3.2.1 California Clean Air Act

The California Clean Air Act (CCAA) was adopted by CARB in 1988. The CCAA is responsible for meeting the state requirements of the Federal CAA and for establishing the CAAQS. CARB oversees the functions of local air pollution control districts and air quality management districts, which, in turn, administer air quality activities at the regional and county levels. The CCAA, as amended in 1992, requires all air districts of the state to achieve and maintain the CAAQS by the earliest practical date.



The CCAA requires CARB to designate areas within California as either attainment or nonattainment for each criteria pollutant based on whether the CAAQS have been achieved. Under the CCAA, areas are designated as nonattainment for a pollutant if air quality data shows that a state standard for the pollutant was violated at least once during the previous 3 calendar years. As shown in **Table 5**, the CAAQS are generally more stringent than the corresponding federal standards and incorporate additional standards for sulfates, hydrogen sulfide, vinyl chloride, and visibility-reducing particles.

Exceedances that are affected by highly irregular or infrequent events are not considered violations of a state standard and are not used as a basis for designating areas as nonattainment.

3.2.2 California State Implementation Plan

The CAA mandates that the state submit and implement a State Implementation Plan (SIP) for areas not meeting the NAAQS. These plans must include pollution control measures that demonstrate how the standards will be met. State law makes CARB the lead agency for all purposes related to the SIP.

Local air districts and other agencies prepare SIP elements and submit them to CARB for review and approval. CARB then forwards SIP revisions to the U.S. EPA for approval and publication in the Federal Register. The Code of Federal Regulations Title 40, Chapter I, Part 52, Subpart F, Section 52.220 lists all of the items which are included in the California SIP.

3.2.3 Toxic Air Contaminants Regulation

Toxic Air Contaminant (TAC) sources include industrial processes, dry cleaners, gasoline stations, paint and solvent operations, and fossil fuel combustion sources (i.e., Diesel Particulate Matter [DPM]).

In August 1998, ARB identified DPM emissions from diesel-fueled engines as a TAC. In September 2000, ARB approved a comprehensive diesel risk reduction plan to reduce emissions from both new and existing diesel fueled engines and vehicles. The goal of the plan is to reduce diesel PM₁₀ (inhalable particulate matter) emissions and the associated health risk by 75 percent in 2010 and by 85 percent by 2020. The plan identified 14 measures that target new and existing on-road vehicles (e.g., heavy duty trucks and buses, etc.), off-road equipment (e.g., graders, tractors, forklifts, sweepers, and boats), portable equipment (e.g., pumps, etc.), and stationary engines (e.g., stand-by power generators, etc.).

3.2.4 Executive Order S-3-05

On June 1, 2005, Executive Order S-3-05 set the following GHG emission reduction targets: by 2010, reduce GHG emissions to 2000 levels; by 2020, reduce GHG emissions to 1990 levels; and by 2050, reduce GHG emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels. It calls for the Secretary of CalEPA to be responsible for coordination of state agencies and progress reporting.

3.2.5 Executive Order B-30-15

In April 2015, Governor Edmund Brown issued an Executive Order establishing a statewide GHG reduction goal of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030. The emission reduction target acts as an interim goal between the AB 32 goal (i.e., achieve 1990 emission levels by 2020) and Governor Brown's Executive Order S-03-05 goal of reducing statewide emissions 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050. In



addition, the Executive Order aligns California's 2030 GHG reduction goal with the European Union's reduction target (i.e., 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030) that was adopted in October 2014.

3.2.6 Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32)

In September 2006, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, also known as AB 32, was signed into law. AB 32 focuses on reducing GHG emissions in California and requires CARB to adopt rules and regulations that would achieve GHG emissions equivalent to statewide levels in 1990 by 2020. CARB initially determined that the total statewide aggregated GHG 1990 emissions level and 2020 emissions limit was 427 MMTCO₂e. The 2020 target reduction was estimated to be 174 MMTCO₂e.

To achieve the goal, AB 32 mandates that CARB establish a quantified emissions cap, institute a schedule to meet the cap, implement regulations to reduce statewide GHG emissions from stationary sources, and develop tracking, reporting, and enforcement mechanisms to ensure that reductions are achieved.

3.2.7 Senate Bill 32 (SB 32)

Senate Bill (SB) 32, signed September 8, 2016, updates AB 32 to include an emissions reduction goal for the year 2030. Specifically, SB 32 requires the state board to ensure that statewide GHG emissions are reduced to 40 percent below the 1990 level by 2030. The new plan, outlined in SB 32, involves increasing renewable energy use, imposing tighter limits on the carbon content of gasoline and diesel fuel, putting more electric cars on the road, improving energy efficiency, and curbing emissions from key industries.

3.2.8 Senate Bill 375 (SB 375)

Acknowledging the relationship between land use planning and transportation sector GHG emissions, Senate Bill (SB) 375 was passed by the State Assembly on August 25, 2008, and signed by the Governor on September 30, 2008. This legislation links regional planning for housing and transportation with the GHG reduction goals outlined in AB 32. Reductions in GHG emissions would be achieved by, for example, locating employment opportunities close to transit.

Under SB 375, each Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) would be required to adopt a Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) to encourage compact development that reduce passenger vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and trips so that the region will meet a target, created by CARB, for reducing GHG emissions. If the SCS is unable to achieve the regional GHG emissions reduction targets, then the MPO is required to prepare an alternative planning strategy that shows how the GHG emissions reduction target could be achieved through alternative development patterns, infrastructure, and/or transportation measure.

3.2.9 Southern California Association of Governments

To implement SB 375 and reduce GHG emissions by correlating land use and transportation planning, SCAG adopted the 2020–2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (2020–2045 RTP/SCS) on September 3 ,2020. The 2020–2045 RTP/SCS reaffirms the land use policies that were incorporated into the 2016–2040 RTP/SCS. The 2020-2045 RTP/SCS describes how the region can attain the GHG emission-reduction targets set by CARB by achieving a 19 percent reduction by 2035 compared



to the 2005 level on a per capita basis. Compliance with and implementation of 2020 RTP/SCS policies and strategies would have co-benefits of reducing per capita criteria air pollutant emissions associated with reduced per capita VMT.

3.2.10 Climate Change Scoping Plan

In 2008, CARB approved the original Climate Change Scoping Plan as required by AB 32. Subsequently, CARB approved updates to the Climate Change Scoping Plan in 2014 (First Update) and 2017 (2017 Update), with the 2017 Update considering SB 32 (adopted in 2016) in addition to AB 32. In December 2022, CARB approved the final version of California's 2022 Climate Change Scoping Plan (2022 Scoping Plan Update), which outlines the proposed framework of action for achieving California's new AB 1279 2045 GHG target: an 85 percent reduction in GHG emissions by 2045 relative to 1990 levels. The original Climate Change Scoping Plan proposed a "comprehensive set of actions designed to reduce overall carbon GHG emissions in California, improve our environment, reduce our dependence on oil, diversify our energy sources, save energy, create new jobs, and enhance public health. The original Climate Change Scoping Plan identified a range of GHG reduction actions that included direct regulations, alternative compliance mechanisms, monetary and non-monetary incentives, voluntary actions, marketbased mechanisms, such as a cap-and-trade system, and an AB 32 implementation fee to fund the program. The 2022 Scoping Plan Update focuses on strategies for reducing California's dependency on petroleum to provide customers with clean energy options that address climate change and support clean sector jobs. SB 350 and other regulations are expected to decarbonize the electricity sector over time.

3.2.11 California Green Building Standards (CALGreen Code)

The California Green Building Standards Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 11), commonly referred to as the CALGreen Code, went into effect on January 1, 2017. CALGreen standards require new residential and commercial buildings to comply with mandatory measures under five topical areas: planning and design, energy efficiency, water efficiency and conservation, material conservation and resource efficiency, and environmental quality. CALGreen also provides voluntary tiers and measures that local governments may adopt that encourage or require additional measures in the five green building topics. The 2019 CALGreen code updates were published July 1, 2019, with an effective date of January 1, 2020.

The California Energy Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Section 6) was created as part of the California Building Standards Code (Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations) by the California Building Standards Commission in 1978 to establish statewide building energy efficiency standards to reduce California's energy consumption. These standards include provisions applicable to all buildings, residential and nonresidential, which describe requirements for documentation and certificates that the building meets the standards. Compliance with Title 24 is enforced through the building permit process.

3.2.12 Regulation for Reducing Sulfur Hexafluoride Emissions from Gas Insulated Switchgear (Title 17, Sections 95350-95359 of the California Code of Regulations)

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) adopted this rule in 2011 to reduce SF_6 emissions from gas insulated switchgear (GIS) and circuit breakers that use SF_6 as an electrical insulating medium. In



response to emerging technologies using lower or zero GWP insulators, CARB amended the regulation in 2021 to further reduce GHG emissions from gas-insulated equipment. Key provisions of the amended regulation include a phase-out schedule for new sulfur hexafluoride gas-insulated equipment (January 1, 2025 for voltage less than 145 kV; January 1, 2029 for voltage between 145 and 245 kV; and January 1, 2031 for voltage greater than 245 kV), coverage of other GHGs beyond sulfur hexafluoride used in gas-insulated equipment, and other changes that enhance accuracy of emissions accounting and reporting.

3.3 Regional

3.3.1 Imperial County Air Pollution Control District

The ICAPCD is the agency responsible for monitoring air quality, as well as planning, implementing, and enforcing programs designed to attain and maintain state and federal ambient air quality standards in the district. ICAPCD is responsible for regulating stationary sources of air emissions in Imperial County. Stationary sources that have the potential to emit air pollutants into the ambient air are subject to the Rules and Regulations adopted by ICAPCD. ICAPCD is responsible for relocated stationary sources do not create net emission increases. Monitoring of ambient air quality in Imperial County began in 1976. Since that time, monitoring has been performed by ICAPCD, CARB, and by private industry.

There are six monitoring sites in Imperial County from Niland to Calexico. The ICAPCD has developed the following plans to achieve attainment for air quality ambient standards:

- 2009 Imperial County Plan for PM₁₀
- 2013 Imperial County Plan for 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} for Moderate Nonattainment Area
- 2017 Imperial County Plan for 2008 8-hour Ozone Standard
- 2018 Imperial County Plan for PM₁₀
- 2018 Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan for PM₁₀
- 2018 Imperial County Plan for PM_{2.5}

In addition to the above plans, the ICAPCD is working cooperatively with counterparts from Mexico to implement emissions reductions strategies and projects for air quality improvements at the border. The two countries strive to achieve these goals through local input from states, county governments, and citizens. Within the Mexicali and Imperial Valley area, the Air Quality Task Force has been organized to address those issues unique to the border region known as the Mexicali/Imperial air shed.

The Air Quality Task Force membership includes representatives from federal, state, and local governments from both sides of the border, as well as representatives from academia, environmental organizations, and the general public. This group was created to promote regional efforts to improve the air quality monitoring network, emissions inventories, and air pollution transport modeling development, as well as the creation of programs and strategies to improve air quality.

Pursuant to the requirements of SB 97, the Resources Agency adopted amendments to the CEQA Guidelines to provide regulatory guidance on the analysis and mitigation of GHG emissions in CEQA documents, while giving lead agencies the discretion to set quantitative or qualitative thresholds for the



assessment and mitigation of GHG and climate change impacts. Formal CEQA thresholds for lead agencies must always be established through a public hearing process. Imperial County has not established formal quantitative or qualitative thresholds through a public rulemaking process, but CEQA permits the lead agency to establish a project-specific threshold of significance if backed by substantial evidence, until such time as a formal threshold is approved. The ICAPCD has not adopted thresholds of significance for projects' GHG emissions.

3.3.2 Imperial County Air Pollution Control District Rules and Regulations

ICAPCD has the authority to adopt and enforce regulations dealing with controls for specific types of sources, emissions or hazardous air pollutants, and New Source Review. The ICAPCD Rules and Regulations are part of the SIP and are separately enforceable by the EPA.

Rule 106 – Abatement. The Board may, after notice and a hearing, issue, or provide for the issuance by the Hearing Board, of an order for abatement whenever the District finds that any person is in violation of the rules and regulations limiting the discharge of air contaminants into the atmosphere.

Rule 107 – Land Use. The purpose of this rule is to provide ICAPCD the duty to review and advise the appropriate planning authorities within the District on all new construction or changes in land use which the Air Pollution Control Officer believes could become a source of air pollution problems.

Rule 201 – Permits Required. The construction, installation, modification, replacement, and operation of any equipment which may emit or control Air Contaminants require ICAPCD permits.

Rule 207 – New and Modified Stationary Source Review. Establishes preconstruction review requirements for new and modified stationary sources to ensure the operations of equipment does not interfere with attainment or maintenance of ambient air quality standards.

Rule 208 – Permit to Operate. The ICAPCD would inspect and evaluate the facility to ensure the facility has been constructed or installed and will operate to comply with the provisions of the Authority to Construct permit and comply with all applicable laws, rules, standards, and guidelines.

Rule 310 – Operational Development Fee. The purpose of this rule is to provide ICAPCD with a sound method for mitigating the emissions produced from the operation of new commercial and residential development projects throughout the County of Imperial and incorporated cities. All project proponents have the option to either provide off-site mitigation, pay the operational development fee, or do a combination of both. This rule will assist ICAPCD in attaining the state and federal ambient air quality standards for PM₁₀ and O₃.

Rule 401 – Opacity of Emissions. Sets limits for release or discharge of emissions into the atmosphere, other than uncombined water vapor, that are dark or darker in shade as designated as No. 1 on the Ringelmann Chart (i.e., scale for measuring the apparent density or opacity of smoke) or obscure an observer's view to a degree equal to or greater than smoke does as compared to No. 1 on the Ringelmann Chart, for a period or aggregated period of more than three minutes in any hour.

Rule 403 – General Limitations on the Discharge of Air Contaminants. Rule 403 sets forth limitations on emissions of pollutants, including particulate matter, from individual sources.



Rule 405 – Sulfur Compounds Emissions Standards, Limitations and Prohibitions. Rule 405 applies to the discharge of sulfur compounds into the atmosphere and limits emissions of sulfur compounds (calculated as sulfur dioxide SO₂) in excess of 0.2 percent by volume.

Rule 407 – Nuisance. Rule 407 prohibits a person from discharging from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public, or which endanger the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such persons or the public, or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property.

Rule 801 – Construction and Earthmoving Activities. Rule 801 aims to reduce the amount of PM₁₀ entrained in the ambient air as a result of emissions generated from construction and other earthmoving activities by requiring actions to prevent, reduce, or mitigate PM₁₀ emissions. This rule applies to any construction and other earthmoving activities, including, but not limited to, land clearing, excavation related to construction, land leveling, grading, cut and fill grading, erection or demolition of any structure, cutting and filling, trenching, loading or unloading of bulk materials, demolishing, drilling, adding to or removing bulk of materials from open storage piles, weed abatement through disking, back filling, travel on-site and travel on access roads to and from the site.

Rule 900 – Procedures for Issuing Permits to Operate Sources Subject to Title V of the Federal Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. Rule 900 provides procedures for issuing permits to operate for industrial projects that are subject to Title V of the federal Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (Major Sources) of emissions, which is defined as a source that exceeds 100 tons per year of any regulated pollutant, including GHG emissions.

Rule 903 – Potential to Emit. Rule 903 applies to any stationary source that would have the potential to emit hazardous air pollutants (HAPs). Rule 903 provides *de minimis* emission levels of 20,000 MTCO2e per year of GHG, 5 tons per year of a regulated air pollutant (excluding HAPs and GHG), 2 tons per year of a single HAP, and 5 tons per year of any combination of HAPs, where if a stationary source produces less emissions less than the *de minimis* emission levels, the source is exempt from Rule 903 recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

Regulation VIII – Fugitive Dust Rules. Regulation VIII sets forth rules regarding the control of fugitive dust, including fugitive dust from construction activities. The regulation requires implementation of fugitive dust control measures to reduce emissions from earthmoving, unpaved roads, handling of bulk materials, and control of track-out/carry-out dust from active construction sites. Best Available Control Measures to reduce fugitive dust during construction and earthmoving activities include but are not limited to:

- Phasing of work in order to minimize disturbed surface area
- Application of water or chemical stabilizers to disturbed soils
- Construction and maintenance of wind barriers
- Use of a track-out control device or wash down system at access points to paved roads.

Compliance with Regulation VIII is mandatory for all construction sites, regardless of size; however, compliance with Regulation VIII does not constitute mitigation under the reductions attributed to



environmental impacts. In addition, compliance for a project includes: (1) the development of a dust control plan for the construction and operational phase; and (2) notification to the Air District is required 10 days prior to the commencement of any construction activity. Furthermore, any use of engine(s) and/or generator(s) of 50 horsepower or greater may require a permit through ICAPCD.

3.3.3 Southern California Association of Governments – 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is the designated metropolitan planning organization for Los Angeles, Ventura, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, and Imperial Counties. CEQA requires that regional agencies like SCAG review projects and plans throughout its jurisdiction. SCAG, as the region's "Clearinghouse," collects information on projects of varying size and scope to provide a central point to monitor regional activity. SCAG has the responsibility of reviewing dozens of projects, plans, and programs every month. Projects and plans that are regionally significant must demonstrate to SCAG their consistency with a range of adopted regional plans and policies.

On September 3, 2020, SCAG adopted the 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) (SCAG 2020). The RTP/SCS or "Connect SoCal" includes a strong commitment to reduce emissions from transportation sources to comply with Senate Bill 375, improve public health, and meet the NAAQS as set forth by the federal CAA.

3.3.4 Imperial County Regional Climate Action Plan

Led by the Imperial County Transportation Commission (ICTC) through funding from SCAG, the Imperial Valley Regional Climate Action Plan (Regional CAP; 2021) was developed to address the impacts of climate change and reduce GHG emissions in the Imperial Valley region which includes the County of Imperial (County) and the cities of Brawley, Calexico, Calipatria, Holtville, El Centro, Imperial, and Westmorland. The Regional CAP is consistent with and complementary to statewide legislation and regulatory mandates, and establishes local strategies, measures, and actions aimed at reducing GHG emissions. Specifically, the Regional CAP is used as a regional guidance document for reducing GHG emissions and identifies:

- relevant state legislation requiring the documents preparation and target setting;
- actions that will be taken by the regional agencies to reduce emissions across all jurisdictions and support the funding of future emissions reducing activities; and
- measures and actions that will be taken by local governments to reduce GHG emission and meet local emissions gaps.

3.3.5 Imperial County General Plan

The Imperial County General Plan serves as the overall guiding policy for the County and contains goals, objectives, policies and/or programs to conserve the natural environment of Imperial County, including air quality and GHGs. The Imperial County General Plan does not contain any goals, objectives, policies or programs that directly pertain to GHGs at the project-level. The Conservation and Open Space Element includes objectives for helping the County achieve the goal of improving and maintaining the



quality of air in the region. The following summarizes the goals and policies with respect to air quality applicable to the proposed Project:

Goal 7: The County shall actively seek to improve the quality of air in the region.

- Objective 7.1: Ensure that all project and facilities comply with current Federal, State, and local requirements for attainment of air quality objectives.
- Objective 7.2: Develop management strategies to mitigate fugitive dust. Cooperate with all Federal, State and local agencies in the effort to attain air quality objectives.
- Objective 7.3: Work cooperatively with the EPA and CARB in evaluating air quality monitoring in Imperial County.
- Objective 7.4: Enforce and monitor environmental mitigation measures relating to air quality.
- Objective 7.5: Coordinate efforts with Imperial County Transportation Commission (ICTC) and other appropriate agencies to reduce fugitive dust from unpaved streets.
- Objective 7.6: Explore and assess strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the County.



SECTION 4 Environmental Impacts

4.1 Methodology

This impacts analysis evaluates the potential for the Project and its varying components (described in **Section 1.0**) to impact the air quality resource within the Project area and GHGs. The Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report, Imperial County Renewable Energy and Transmission Element Update was also consulted for project impact potential and appropriate mitigation measures approved by the County.

4.1.1 Construction

Construction of the Project was assumed to commence in the first quarter of 2025 and was estimated to take up to 35 months to complete. The Project would result in both short-term and long-term emissions of air pollutants associated with construction and operations. Construction emissions would include exhaust from the operation of conventional construction equipment, on-road emissions from employee vehicle trips and haul truck trips, fugitive dust as a result of grading and vehicle travel on paved and unpaved surfaces.

Construction emissions were estimated using the latest version of California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), version 2022.1. CalEEMod is a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for government agencies, land use planners, and environmental professionals to quantify potential criteria pollutant and GHG emissions associated with both construction and operation of a variety of land use projects. The model utilizes widely accepted federal and state models for emission estimates and default data from sources such as U.S. EPA AP-42 emission factors, CARB vehicle emission models, and studies from California agencies such as the California Energy Commission (CEC). CalEEMod inputs for construction activities consist of the data provided for offroad equipment operations detailed in **Table 2** and vehicle miles traveled detailed in **Table 3** above. Default CalEEMod inputs were used for modeling where Project-specific details were not readily ascertainable (e.g., fleet mix and trip length).

Consistent with the requirements identified in the ICAPCD CEQA Air Quality Handbook (2017) and emission calculation equations provided in ICAPCD Rule 214.2 (Paving Unpaved Public Roads Emission Reduction Credits [PERCs]), CalEEMod calculates fugitive dust from travel of construction vehicles on paved and unpaved roads using the methodology of Section 13.2.1 of USEPA's AP-42 (2011). Per ICAPCD Rule 214.2, the annual quantity of fugitive dust emissions emitted from roadway segments are calculated relative to the annual vehicle miles traveled. The estimated construction schedule and vehicle and truck trip counts associated with construction activities is detailed **Table 4**. Vehicle trips during operation would be limited to one to two workers traveling to/from the Project site daily with infrequent vendor trips for delivery of operational products and materials.

All worker, vendor, and hauling trips would occur on public roadways (i.e., not within the project construction boundary). The percentage of vehicle miles travel on paved roadways is based on the following travel routes:



- Vendors: Port of Long Beach to 855 Dogwood Road (0% Unpaved Roads)
 - o I-710 to I-405
 - o I-405 to I-805
 - o Exit 17 B onto I-8 E
 - Exit 116 onto Dogwood Road
- Workers/Vendors: Heber to 855 Dogwood Road (0% Unpaved Roads)
 - I-86 to Dogwood Road
- Workers/Vendors: El Centro to 855 Dogwood Road (0% Unpaved Roads)
 - State Street to 8th Street
 - 8th Street to Clark Street
 - Clark Street to Heber Road
 - Heber Road to 855 Dogwood Road
- Workers/Vendors: Brawley to 855 Dogwood Road (0% Unpaved Roads)
 - Main Street to 8th Street
 - 8th Street to K Street
 - K Street to S. Imperial Avenue
 - S. Imperial Avenue to Dogwood Road
 - Dogwood Road to Schartz Road
 - Schartz Road to CA-111 S
 - CA-111 to Heber Road
 - Heber Road to CA-86/E. Main Street
 - $\circ~$ E. Main Street to 855 Dogwood Road
- Workers: Ormat Heber Offices to 855 Dogwood Road (0% Unpaved Roads)
 - 947 Dogwood Road to 855 Dogwood Road

These routes are consistent with the statewide default assumption of 100 percent. However, an input value of 85% paved roads is utilized in the emissions model in accordance with guidance provided by the ICAPCD to account for additional fugitive dust generated on paved surfaces throughout Imperial County.

4.1.2 Operations

Air emission sources associated with Project operations include the geothermal power generating unit (ITLU and OEC), VRMU, and emergency diesel equipment. The power generating unit will generate power by taking geothermal energy (e.g., heat) to vaporize liquid isopentane, which is the motive fluid that powers the turbines to create electricity. In addition, the proposed substation includes new circuit breakers that would potentially be insulated with SF₆.

The primary air pollutant from the facility operations is isopentane, which is a VOC. Specifically, isopentane would be the motive fluid used to drive the turbines for the Project. Although the motive fluid system is a "closed loop" with no routine emissions into the atmosphere, nearly all of the Project's operational ROG emissions comes from fugitive emissions of isopentane that leaks from pipes, seals, flanges, valves, and other connections and the vapor recovery system. Accordingly, the isopentane emissions due to maintenance, purging, and fugitive leaks are summarized as follows:

 Maintenance Isopentane Emissions - Occasionally, isopentane must be evacuated from a portion of an OEC for maintenance or repair. The OECs are divided into zones that can be



isolated and evacuated for maintenance while the isopentane remains in the rest of the system. To evacuate the isopentane from a zone for maintenance, the isopentane liquid and vapor are removed using the VRMU (with a 95% control efficiency) and held in the storage tanks. Any remaining vapors are purged from the zone using nitrogen and passes through the VRMU. The unit is not opened to the atmosphere until the vapor concentration is less than 20% of the lower explosion limit for isopentane. Maintenance isopentane emissions are estimated based on site– specific emission factors derived from previous actual emissions data.

- Purging Isopentane Emissions Over time impurities build up in the motive fluid (MF). These impurities include non-condensable gases (NCG's) which decrease the operating efficiency of the units. NCGs are purged from the system using the existing VRMU. During the purging, vapors from the OECs pass through a knock-out drum and chiller to separate the condensable gases from the NCGs. The remaining gases are passed through an activated carbon bed to collect hydrocarbons before being vented to the atmosphere. The facility's current air permit requires the VRMU to achieve 95% hydrocarbon capture efficiency.
- Fugitive Isopentane Emissions Fugitive isopentane emissions occur from leaks in seals, flanges, pumps, valves, and other components. It is not feasible to measure fugitive emissions directly, but fugitive emissions leaks can be quantified based on the addition of isopentane to the system to make up for the lost fluid. ORMAT tracks fluid additions, and the fluid additions that are not attributed to known non-fugitive cause are counted as fugitive emissions.

Per the Heber 2 Authority to Construct (ATC) #2217A-6 issued by the ICAPCD, site specific isopentane maintenance, purging, and fugitive emissions were calculated based on worst case quarterly emissions from the years 2019 and 2020. Maintenance and fugitive emissions were also adjusted for the decreased complexity of the new units as compared to the existing units associated with the 2019 and 2020 reported emissions (i.e., the number of seals, flanges, pumps, valves, etc. associated with the proposed Project equipment is significantly less than the existing equipment). As such, the ICAPCD applies a 50% reduction factor to 50% emission reduction factor to account for the approximately 50% fewer potential sites for leaks and equipment failure. The emissions have been converted into a per 1,000-gallon factor by using the existing system volume. As summarized in **Table 9**, the resulting Project-specific emission factors are 0.23 lbs/day/1,000 gallons for maintenance, 1.45 X 10⁻⁵ lbs/day/1,000 gal for purging and 0.60 lbs/day/1,000 gal for fugitive. These emission factors are assumed to be consistent with proposed Project operations.

Emission Category	Site-Specific Emission Factor Based on 2019 and 2020 Emissions (Ibs/day/1,000 gallons)	Emissions Reduction Due to Reduced Complexity	Project-Specific Emission Factor	
Maintenance	0.45	50%	0.23	
Purging	2.9 x 10 ⁻⁵	0%	1.45 x 10 ⁻⁵	
Fugitive	1.20	50%	0.60	

Table 9. Project-Specific Isopentane Emission Factors

Source: ICAPCD ATC #2217A-6 (September 28, 2021)

The proposed OEC and ITLU have a combined volume of approximately 82,140 gallons, and the two isopentane storage tanks have a total capacity of 40,000 gallons. Isopentane emissions are related to



the size of the system, so emissions were estimated by multiplying the total isopentane volume at the facility (i.e., 122,140 gallons) by the emission factors detailed in **Table 9**.

With respect to SF₆ emissions associated with operation of the substation circuit breakers, CARB amended the *Reducing Sulfur Hexafluoride Emissions from Gas Insulated Switchgear* regulation in 2021 to further reduce GHG emissions from gas-insulated equipment. Key provisions of the amended regulation include a phase-out schedule for new sulfur hexafluoride gas-insulated equipment (January 1, 2025 for voltage less than 145 kV, January 1, 2029 for voltage between 145 and 245 kV, and January 1, 2031 for voltage greater than 245 kB). In the case that SF₆ alternative technology is available and approved prior to construction, the proposed Project would not require SF₆ for project operations. Consistent with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standard for new equipment leakage, a 0.5% per year leakage rate is assumed (United States Environmental Protection Agency [USEPA] 2016).

Emissions associated with the auxiliary emergency diesel generator and emergency diesel fire pump are estimated using CalEEMod 2022.1 default emission factors for diesel emergency generators and fire pumps with the operational year assumed to be 2027 in the emissions model.

As presented in Section 1.3, the Project site will be staffed with 1-2 onsite employees. Accordingly, annual operation and maintenance trips to the site are conservatively assumed to be up to six one-way trips during weekdays and three one-way trips during weekends. Such visits to the site include inspections, equipment servicing, site maintenance, and periodic washing of the photovoltaic modules at the solar plants. As noted above for construction emissions methodology, a 85% paved roads is utilized in the Project CalEEMod emissions model to account for fugitive dust generated on paved surfaces throughout Imperial County. Indirect sources of emissions include those associated with energy consumption, water use, wastewater treatment, and solid waste disposal. However, operation of the geothermal and solar facilities would offset greenhouse gas emissions by replacing energy generated by fossil fuel power plants (i.e., the Project would generate up to 47 MW of energy that would be added to the power grid and be used in place of electricity generated by fossil fuel sources). Once operating, up to approximately 325 gallons per day (0.36 acre-feet per year) of non-potable water will be required and provided by the applicant's existing IID contract/allocation. Indirect emissions associated with operational water use are estimated using CalEEMod 2022.1 default energy intensity factors for the Colorado River Hydrologic Region. Geothermal facilities and solar farms are not known to generate substantial quantities of solid waste or wastewater. As such, Project operations solid waste and wastewater emissions would not represent a measurable increase in GHG emissions and are considered to be negligible.

4.2 Thresholds of Significance

The ICAPCD has established significance thresholds based on the state CEQA significance criteria. adopted guidelines for implementation of CEQA in its CEQA Air Quality Handbook (ICAPCD 2017). The ICAPCD recommended thresholds of significance are discussed below.

During operations, any development with a potential to emit criteria pollutants below significance levels defined by the ICAPCD is referred to as a "Tier I Project," and is considered to have less than significant potential adverse impacts on local air quality. For Tier I projects, the project proponent must implement



a set of feasible "standard" mitigation measures (determined by the ICAPCD) to reduce the air quality impacts to an insignificant level. A "Tier II Project" is one whose emissions exceed any of the ICAPCD thresholds. Its impact is significant, and the project proponent must select and implement all feasible "discretionary" mitigation measures (as determined by the ICAPCD) in addition to the standard measures. Tier I and Tier II daily thresholds for operational emissions are shown in **Table 10**.

Table 10. ICAPCD Daily Operational Emission Thresholds

Pollutant	Tier I	Tier II	
NO _x and Reactive Organic Gases (ROG)	Less than 137 lbs/day	Greater than 137 lbs/day	
PM ₁₀ and SO _X	Less than 150 lbs/day	Greater than 150 lbs/day	
CO and PM _{2.5}	Less than 550 lbs/day	Greater than 550 lbs/day	

Source: ICAPCD 2017

The IPAPCD has also developed specific quantitative thresholds that apply to short-term construction activities as summarized in **Table 11**.

Table 11. ICAPCD Daily Construction Emission Thresholds

Pollutant	Threshold (lbs/day)		
PM ₁₀	150		
ROG	75		
NO _X	100		
СО	550		

Source: ICAPCD 2017

The ICAPCD does not have numeric thresholds for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. However, Imperial County is a member of the Southern California Association of Governments which is composed of several different counties including Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura counties. Air districts responsible for managing air quality within the SCAG boundaries include the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District, the Mojave Desert Air Pollution Control District, South Coast Air Pollution Control District, and the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District. Projects in Imperial County use the South Coast Air Quality Management District S (SCAQMD's) Interim Threshold of 10,000 MTCO₂e screening level for industrial projects. In addition, based on guidance from the SCAQMD, total construction GHG emissions resulting from a project should be amortized over a period of 30 years and added to operational GHG emissions to account for their contribution to GHG emissions over the lifetime of a project.

4.3 Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures

4.3.1 Air Quality

Impact a. Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?

The air quality attainment plan (AQAP) for the SSAB, through the implementation of the Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP; previously Air Quality Attainment Plan [AQAP]) and SIP for PM₁₀, sets forth a comprehensive program that will lead the SSAB into compliance with all federal and state air quality



standards. The AQMP control measures and related emission reduction estimates are based upon emissions projections for a future development scenario derived from land use, population, and employment characteristics defined in consultation with local governments. Conformance with the AQMP for development projects is determined by demonstrating compliance with local land use plans and/or population projections, meeting the land use designation set forth in the local General Plan, and comparing assumed emissions in the AQMP to proposed emissions.

The Project must demonstrate compliance with all ICAPCD applicable rules and regulations, as well as local land use plans and population projections. As the Project does not contain a residential component, the Project would not result in an increase in the regional population. While the Project would contribute to energy supply, which is one factor of population growth, the proposed Project is a geothermal and solar energy project and would not significantly increase employment or growth within the region.

Moreover, development of the proposed Project would increase the amount of renewable energy and help California meet its Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS). The proposed Project would be required to comply with all applicable ICAPCD rules and requirements during construction and operation to reduce air emissions. Overall, the proposed Project would improve air quality by reducing the amount of emissions that would be generated in association with electricity production from fossil fuel burning facilities.

Furthermore, the thresholds of significance adopted by the ICAPCD, determine compliance with the goals of the attainment plans in the region. As such, emissions below the ICAPCD thresholds presented in **Table 10** and **Table 11** would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plans. The following analysis is broken out by a discussion of potential impacts during construction of the Project followed by a discussion of potential impacts during operation of the Project.

Construction

The Project would emit criteria pollutants from the use of combustion sources such as diesel off-road equipment (e.g., tractors, cranes, generators, etc.), and on-road mobile sources associated with construction-related vehicle travel. Impacts to air quality would also occur during Project construction as a result of soil disturbance and fugitive dust emissions. Construction emissions vary from day-to-day depending on the number of workers, number, and types of active heavy-duty vehicles and equipment, level of activity, the prevailing meteorological conditions, and the length over which these activities occur.

Project construction is anticipated to take up to 35 months. Construction is anticipated to begin in the first quarter 2025. Project emissions were calculated in accordance with the ICAPCD's Air Quality Handbook (ICAPCD 2017). For the purposes of this analysis, short-term construction emissions were determined utilizing the latest version of the CalEEMod model (version 2022.1) based on the assumptions described in **Section 1.2** and utilizing CalEEMod defaults for calendar year average equipment emission factors as opposed to tier-specific rates (e.g., Tier 3) (refer to Attachment A for emission model results). The total unmitigated emissions generated within each year of project construction are shown in **Table 12**.



Table 12. Unmitigated Project Construction-Generated Emissions

Construction Year			Pollutant	(lbs/day) ¹		
	ROG	NO _x	со	SO ₂	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}
2025	27.52	246.06	268.98	0.80	2,243.9	231.29
2026	29.55	272.17	307.92	0.84	2,356.6	242.47
Threshold	75	100	550		150	
Exceed Threshold?	No	Yes	No		[Yes] ²	

Source: CalEEMod Results in Attachment A

Notes:

¹ Emissions are representative of the maximum daily output (i.e., maximum of summer or winter results).

² Guidance provided in the ICAPCD CEQA Air Quality Handbook (2017) specifies that the approach of the CEQA analyses for construction particulate matter impacts should be qualitative as opposed to quantitative. As such, further analysis of construction-related fugitive particulate matter is provided below.

As shown in **Table 12**, the Project's daily unmitigated construction emissions would exceed the ICAPCD thresholds for NO_x and PM_{10} . Incorporating **MM AIR-1** would ensure that the construction emissions of NO_x remain below the applicable thresholds as shown in **Table 13**.

Table 13. Mitigated Project Construction-Generated Emissions

Construction Year	Pollutant (lbs/day) ¹					
	ROG	NO _x	со	SO2	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}
2025	9.90	83.42	466.38	1.12	2,238.7	226.62
2026	10.72	87.08	520.46	1.30	2,351.7	238.04
Threshold	75	100	550		150	
Exceed Threshold?	No	No	No		[Yes] ²	

Source: CalEEMod Results in Attachment A

Notes:

¹ Emissions are representative of the maximum daily output (i.e., maximum of summer or winter results).

² Guidance provided in the ICAPCD CEQA Air Quality Handbook (2017) specifies that the approach of the CEQA analyses for construction particulate matter impacts should be qualitative as opposed to quantitative. As such, further analysis of construction-related fugitive particulate matter is provided below.

Specifically, **MM AIR-1** requires that all off-road construction diesel engines not registered under CARB's Statewide Portable Equipment Registration Program, which have a rating of 50 horsepower or more, meet, at a minimum, the Tier 4 Final California Emission Standards for Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines as specified in C.C.R., Title 13, section 2423(b)(1) unless such engine is not available for a particular item of equipment. In the event a Tier 4 Final engine is not available for any off-road engine larger than 100 horsepower, that engine shall be equipped with retrofit controls that would provide NO_x and particulate matter emissions that are equivalent to Tier 4 engine (refer to Attachment A for emission model results).

Due to the assumption of 85% paved roads built into the Project CalEEMod model, construction activities are shown to exceed the ICAPCD threshold for PM_{10} . Specifically, CalEEMod results for the maximum daily emissions of PM_{10} attributed to fugitive dust is estimated at 2,349.4 lbs/day whereas the PM_{10} attributed to combustion engine emissions is 2.27 lbs/day (which is below the ICAPCD threshold



for PM₁₀). However, guidance provided in the ICAPCD CEQA Air Quality Handbook (2017) specifies that the approach of the CEQA analyses for construction particulate matter impacts should be qualitative as opposed to quantitative. Further, the ICAPCD recommends the implementation of effective and comprehensive mitigation inclusive of standard mitigation measures for construction equipment and fugitive PM₁₀ in accordance with ICAPCD Regulation VIII for the control of fugitive dust as detailed in MM AQ-2. Regulation VIII requires all unpaved roadways, on- and off-site, to be conditioned and maintained with soil stabilizers to reduce dust opacity to no more than 20 percent; all unpaved disturbed surfaces, on- and off-site, to be stabilized with a dust suppressant, watering, or soil stabilizers to reduce opacity to no greater than 20 percent. Compliance with Regulation VIII dust control measures as detailed in **MM AQ-2** would further minimize air quality impacts. In addition, the ICAPCD recommends implementation of additional discretionary mitigation measures for fugitive PM₁₀ control as applicable. Accordingly, implementation of **MM AQ-3** would require additional dust suppression methods (such as water or chemical stabilization) on all unpaved roads associated with construction activities, MM AQ-4 requires development and implementation of a dust suppression management plan prior to any earthmoving activity, and MM AQ-5 limits the speed of all vehicles operating onsite on dirt roads to 15 miles per hour or less. Accordingly, with implementation of MM AQ-1, MM AQ-2, MM AQ-3, **MM AQ-4**, and **MM AQ-5**, the Project would not exceed the ICAPCD's thresholds of significance during construction. As described above, conformance with the AQMP for development projects is determined by demonstrating compliance with local land use plans and/or population projections and comparing assumed emissions in the AQMP to proposed emissions. Because the proposed Project complies with local land use plans and population projections and would not exceed ICAPCD's regional mass daily emissions thresholds, construction of the proposed Project would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan. Impacts would be less than significant with mitigation.

Operation

Implementation of the projects would result in long-term operational emissions of criteria air pollutants. Specifically, isopentane emissions will occur due to maintenance, purging, and fugitive leaks. Operation of auxiliary engines including the emergency diesel generator and emergency diesel fire pump will also result in emissions of criteria pollutants. **Table 14** summarizes the estimated emissions of isopentane at the facility.

Emission Category	System Motive Fluid Volume (Gallons)	Project-Specific Emission Factor (Ibs/day/1000 gallons)	Isopentane Emissions (Ibs/day)
Maintenance	82,140 (OEC/ITLU)	0.23	18.48
Purging	82,140 (OEC/ITLU)	1.45 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.001
Fugitive	122,140 (OEC/ITLU & Tanks)	0.60	49.28
		TOTAL	67.77

Table 14.Isopentane Emission Estimate

With the exception of isopentane emissions detailed in **Table 14**, all other operational emissions were modeled utilizing CalEEMod 2022.1. Accordingly, long-term combined operational emissions attributable to the Project are summarized in **Table 15** and compared to the operational significance thresholds promulgated by the ICAPCD.



Table 15. Unmitigated Project Operational Emissions

Emission Source	Pollutant (lbs/day) ¹													
	ROG	NO _x	со	SO ₂	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}								
Area ²	38.56	1.98	234.91	0.01	0.42	0.32								
Mobile ³	0.03	0.02	0.26	<0.005	6.87	0.69								
Energy ⁴	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
Stationary ⁵	0.12	0.34	0.31	<0.005	0.02	0.02								
Fugitive Isopentane ⁶	67.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
TOTAL	106.48	2.34	235.56	0.02	7.31	1.03								
Threshold	137	137	550	150	150	550								
Exceed Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No								

Source: CalEEMod Results in Attachment A

Notes:

^{1.} Daily emissions are representative of the maximum daily output (i.e., maximum of summer or winter results).

^{2.} Area emissions are inclusive of landscape maintenance equipment using CalEEMod default factors.

^{3.} Mobile emissions are inclusive of daily estimate vehicle miles travels associated with operations (i.e., average of 6 oneway trips per weekday and 3 one-way trips per day on Saturdays and Sundays with an estimated trip length of 10.2 miles.

^{4.} The Project is a renewable energy project and does not require energy from the grid.

^{5.} Stationary emissions are associated with operation of emergency diesel generator (50 hours/year amortized over 365 days/year) and emergency diesel fire pump (40 hours/year amortized over 365 days/year)

^{6.} Isopentane emissions are reported as ROG.

Project-generated increases in emissions would be predominately associated with isopentane emissions and emissions related to landscape equipment use for routine maintenance work. As shown in **Table 15**, the Project's combined operational emissions would not exceed the ICAPCD thresholds for CO, ROG, NO_X, PM₁₀, SO₂, and PM_{2.5}. Although no significant air quality impact would occur during operation, the Project would be required to comply with Regulation VIII as detailed in **MM AQ-2** that would further reduce fugitive dust emissions associated with the Project. In addition, implementation of **MM AQ-5** would limit the speed of all vehicles operating onsite on dirt roads to 15 miles per hour or less and **MM AQ-6** would ensure an Operational Dust Control Plan is implemented.

As described above, conformance with the AQMP for development projects is determined by demonstrating compliance with local land use plans and/or population projections and comparing assumed emissions in the AQMP to proposed emissions. Because the proposed projects comply with local land use plans and population projections and would not exceed ICAPCD's regional mass daily emissions thresholds, operation of the Project would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan. Impacts would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measure(s)

MM AQ-1 (Construction Equipment). All off-road construction diesel engines not registered under CARB's Statewide Portable Equipment Registration Program, which have a rating of 50 horsepower or more, shall meet, at a minimum, the Tier 4 Final California Emission Standards for Off-road Compression-Ignition Engines as specified in C.C.R., Title 13, section 2423(b)(1) unless such engine is not available for a particular item of equipment. In the event a Tier 4 Final engine is not available for any off-road engine larger than 100 horsepower, that engine shall be equipped with retrofit controls that would



provide NO_x and particulate matter emissions that are equivalent to Tier 4 engine. Drill rig engines shall meet a minimum of Tier 4 Interim California Emission Standards. A list of the construction equipment, including all off-road equipment utilized at the project site by make, model, year, horsepower and expected/actual hours of use, and the associated EPA Tier shall be submitted to the County Planning and Development Services Department and ICAPCD prior to the issuance of a grading permit. The equipment list shall be submitted periodically to ICAPCD to perform a NO_x analysis. ICAPCD shall utilize this list to calculate air emissions to verify that equipment use does not exceed the significance thresholds. The Planning and Development Services Department and ICAPCD shall verify implementation of this measure.

MM AQ-2 (Fugitive Dust Control). Pursuant to ICAPCD, all construction sites, regardless of size, must comply with the requirements contained within Regulation VIII – Fugitive Dust Control Measures. ICAPCD will verify implementation and compliance with these measures as part of the grading permit review/approval process.

ICAPCD Standard Measures for Fugitive Dust (PM₁₀) Control

- All disturbed areas, including bulk material storage, which is not being actively utilized, shall be effectively stabilized and visible emissions shall be limited to no greater than 20 percent opacity for dust emissions by using water, chemical stabilizers, dust suppressants, tarps, or other suitable material, such as vegetative ground cover.
- All on-site and offsite unpaved roads will be effectively stabilized, and visible emissions shall be limited to no greater than 20 percent opacity for dust emissions by paving, chemical stabilizers, dust suppressants, and/or watering.
- All unpaved traffic areas 1 acre or more with 75 or more average vehicle trips per day will be effectively stabilized and visible emissions shall be limited to no greater than 20 percent opacity for dust emissions by paving, chemical stabilizers, dust suppressants, and/or watering.
- The transport of bulk materials shall be completely covered unless 6 inches of freeboard space from the top of the container is maintained with no spillage and loss of bulk material.
 In addition, the cargo compartment of all haul trucks is to be cleaned and/or washed at delivery site after removal of bulk material.
- All track-out or carry-out will be cleaned at the end of each workday or immediately when mud or dirt extends a cumulative distance of 50 linear feet or more onto a paved road within an urban area.
- Movement of bulk material handling or transfer shall be stabilized prior to handling or at points of transfer with application of sufficient water, chemical stabilizers, or by sheltering or enclosing the operation and transfer line.
- The construction of any new unpaved road is prohibited within any area with a population of 500 or more unless the road meets the definition of a temporary unpaved road. Any temporary unpaved road shall be effectively stabilized, and visible emissions shall be limited to no greater than 20 percent opacity for dust emission by paving, chemical stabilizers, dust suppressants, and/or watering.



Standard Mitigation Measures for Construction Combustion Equipment

- Use of alternative fueled or catalyst equipped diesel construction equipment, including all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment.
- Minimize idling time either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the time of idling to 5 minutes as a maximum.
- Limit, to the extent feasible, the hours of operation of heavy-duty equipment and/or the amount of equipment in use.
- When commercially available, replace fossil fueled equipment with electrically driven equivalents (provided they are not run via a portable generator set).

MM AQ-3 (Dust Suppression). The project applicant shall employ a method of dust suppression (such as water or chemical stabilization) approved by ICAPCD. All unpaved roads associated with construction shall be effectively stabilized of dust emissions using stabilizers/suppressant before the commencement of all construction phases. This will be conducted monthly at a rate of 0.1 gallon/ square yard of chemical dust suppressant. The project applicant shall apply chemical stabilization as directed by the product manufacturer to control dust between the panels as approved by ICAPCD, and other non-used areas (exceptions will be the paved entrance and parking area, and Fire Department access/emergency entry/exit points as approved by Fire/Office of Emergency Services [OES] Department).

MM AQ-4 (Dust Suppression Management Plan). Prior to any earthmoving activity, the applicant shall submit a construction dust control plan and obtain ICAPCD and Imperial County Planning and Development Services Department (ICPDS) approval.

MM AQ-5 (Speed Limit). During construction and operation of the proposed project, the applicant shall limit the speed of all vehicles operating onsite on unpaved roads to 15 miles per hour or less.

MM AQ-6 (Operational Dust Control Plan). Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall submit an operations dust control plan and obtain ICAPCD and ICPDS approval. ICAPCD Rule 301 Operational Fees apply to any project applying for a building permit. At the time that building permits are submitted for the proposed project, ICAPCD shall review the project to determine if Rule 310 fees are applicable to the project.

Impact b. Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?

Construction

As shown in **Table 6**, the criteria pollutants for which the project area is in state nonattainment under applicable air quality standards are O₃ and PM₁₀. The ICAPCD's application of thresholds of significance for criteria air pollutants is relevant to the determination of whether a project's individual emissions would have a cumulatively significant impact on air quality. As discussed above and summarized in **Table 13**, with implementation of **MM AQ-1**, **MM AQ-2**, **MM AQ-3**, **MM AQ-4**, and **MM AQ-5**, the Project's daily mitigated construction emissions would not exceed the ICAPCD thresholds (note that although the CalEEMod results for PM₁₀ emissions are shown to exceed the ICAPCD threshold, the ICAPCD recommends analyzing construction particulate matter qualitatively rather than quantitatively as



discussed in detail above). Therefore, the Project's potential to result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant during construction is considered less than significant with mitigation.

Operations

As discussed above and summarized in **Table 15**, the Project's daily operations emissions would not exceed the ICAPCD thresholds. In addition, the Project must comply with the requirements of ICAPCD Regulation VIII for the control of fugitive dust as detailed in **MM AQ-2**, which would further reduce impacts associated with fugitive dust emissions as would implementation of **MM AQ-5** which would require implementation of an Operational Dust Control Plan and **MM AQ-6** which limits the speed on onsite unpaved roads. Therefore, the Project's potential to result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant during operations is considered less than significant.

Mitigation Measure(s)

MM AQ-1 (Construction Equipment) MM AQ-2 (Fugitive Dust Control) MM AQ-3 (Dust Suppression) MM AQ-4 (Dust Suppression Management Plan) MM AQ-5 (Speed Limit) MM AQ-6 (Operational Dust Control Plan) Impact c. Would the project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?

Construction

As summarized in **Table 8** above, the nearest sensitive land use to the Project area is a single-family residence located approximately 540 feet from the Hever 2 Parasitic Solar Facility. Construction of the Project would result in temporary, short-term project-generated emissions of DPM, ROG, NO_X, CO, and PM₁₀ from the exhaust of offroad, heavy-duty diesel equipment and construction-related truck traffic The portion of the SSAB which encompasses the project area is designated as a nonattainment area for federal O₃ and PM_{2.5} standards and is also a nonattainment area for the state standards for O₃ and PM₁₀. Thus, existing O₃ and PM₁₀ levels in the SSAB are at unhealthy levels during certain periods. However, as summarized above and shown in **Table 13**, with implementation of **MM AQ-1** through **MM AQ-5**, the Project would not exceed the ICAPCD significance thresholds for construction emissions. The health effects associated with O₃ are generally associated with reduced lung function. Because the Project would not involve construction activities that would result in O₃ precursor emissions (ROG or NO_X) in excess of the ICAPCD thresholds, the Project is not anticipated to substantially contribute to regional O₃ concentrations and the associated health impacts.

CO tends to be a localized impact associated with congested intersections. In terms of adverse health effects, CO competes with oxygen, often replacing it in the blood, reducing the blood's ability to transport oxygen to vital organs. The results of excess CO exposure can include dizziness, fatigue, and impairment of central nervous system functions. The Project would not involve activities that would result in CO emissions in excess of the ICAPCD thresholds. Thus, the Project CO emissions during construction would not contribute to the health effects associated with this pollutant.



Particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) contains microscopic solids or liquid droplets that are so small that they can get deep into the lungs and cause serious health problems. Particulate matter exposure has been linked to a variety of problems, including premature death in people with heart or lung disease, nonfatal heart attacks, irregular heartbeat, aggravated asthma, decreased lung function, and increased respiratory symptoms such as irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing. Particulate exhaust emissions from diesel-fueled engines (i.e., DPM) were identified as a TAC by CARB in 1998. For construction-type activity, DPM is the primary TAC of concern. PM₁₀ exhaust is considered a surrogate for DPM as all diesel exhaust is considered to be DPM. As with O₃ and NO_x, with implementation of **MM AQ-1** through **MM AQ-5**, the Project would not generate emissions of PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5} that would exceed the ICAPCD's thresholds, and thus are not expected to cause any increase in related health effects for these pollutants.

Additionally, fugitive dust can lead to the spread of San Joaquin Valley Fever (Valley Fever), a potential health hazard caused by a fungus that lives in certain soil types throughout California. The California Department of Public Health- Occupational Health Branch and the Division of Occupational Safety and Health of the Department of Industrial Relations (Cal/OSHA) provides recommendations to limit risk from Valley Fever. The measures required to comply with ICAPCD Regulation VIII are consistent with those recommended to limit risk to Valley Fever. For example, Cal/OSHA recommends the adoption of site plans and work practices that reduce worker exposure. Cal/OSHA further provides that measures that may be implemented include, but are not limited to, the following: minimize the area of soil disturbed; use of water or other soil stabilizer to reduce airborne dust; stabilize all spoils piles by tarping or other methods; cleaning tools, equipment, and vehicles before transporting offsite. These measures and work practices will be implemented at the Project site pursuant to compliance with ICAPCD Regulation VIII. As such, construction activities associated with the Project are not expected to cause any increase in Valley Fever to workers or sensitive receptors in the area.

In summary, Project construction would not result in a potentially significant contribution to regional concentrations of nonattainment pollutants and would not result in a significant contribution to the adverse health impacts associated with those pollutants. Impacts would be less than significant with mitigation.

Operation

Operation of the proposed Project would not result in the development of any substantial sources of air toxics. Stationary sources associated with the Project include limited use of an emergency diesel generator and emergency diesel fire pump. Further, operation of the Project would not attract additional mobile sources that spend long periods queuing and idling at the site. With respect to isopentane, according to the Clean Air Act Section 112(b), Hazardous Air Pollutants, isopentane is not listed or considered a HAP. As such, onsite combined Project emissions would not result in significant concentrations of pollutants at nearby sensitive receptors as the predominant operational emissions associated with the proposed projects would be routine maintenance work. Therefore, the Project would not be a substantial source of TACs. The proposed Project would not result in a high carcinogenic or non-carcinogenic risk during operation.

CO concentrations close to congested intersections that experience high levels of traffic and elevated background concentrations may reach unhealthy levels, affecting nearby sensitive receptors. Areas of



high CO concentrations, or "hot spots," are typically associated with intersections that are projected to operate at unacceptable levels of service during the peak commute hours. CO concentration in the SSAB is designated as an attainment area. Detailed modeling of Project-specific CO "hot spots" is not necessary and thus this potential impact is addressed qualitatively. The proposed Project is anticipated to result in no more than six daily traffic trips. Thus, the proposed Project would not generate traffic volumes at any intersection that would result in a likelihood of the Project traffic contributing to CO "hot spots".

In summary, Project operations would not result in a potentially significant contribution to regional concentrations of nonattainment pollutants and would not result in a significant contribution to the adverse health impacts associated with those pollutants. Impacts would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measure(s)

MM AQ-1 (Construction Equipment)
MM AQ-2 (Fugitive Dust Control)
MM AQ-3 (Dust Suppression)
MM AQ-4 (Dust Suppression Management Plan)
MM AQ-5 (Speed Limit)
Impact d. Would the project result in other emissions (such

Impact d. Would the project result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?

Construction

Geothermal fluid can release various non-condensable gases such as H_2S . Hot water, steam, particulate, and/or gases that could emanate from a typical geothermal well during drilling, testing, and cleanout in the Casa Diablo Geothermal Resource Area could contain several minerals and other naturally occurring chemicals. However, most of these chemicals are present only in trace amounts and would not pose a health hazard to the surrounding environment. H₂S emissions would be the most important noncondensable gas from a health-risk and odor nuisance standpoint. The potential exists that this gas and other non-condensable gases may be emitted intermittently on a short-term and temporary basis during drilling. During well cleanout and flow testing, geothermal fluids would likely be pumped into large tanks. H₂S may temporarily be released from the geothermal fluid for several hours to up to 30 days during these activities. The local H₂S emissions during these activities could exceed the ICAPCD sulfur compound emission standard (Rule 405) of 0.2 percent by volume (calculated as SO₂ and measured at a point of discharge) and could produce an objectionable "rotten egg" odor in the immediate vicinity of each well. However, these concentrations would not be expected to pose a health hazard and would not reach far beyond the vicinity of the wells under normal conditions. In addition, potential H₂S emissions resulting from these activities would be temporary at each well development site and would occur for a relatively short period of several hours to up to 45 days at each well site.

Construction of the Project components would also result in short-term diesel exhaust emissions from on-site heavy-duty equipment and from material deliveries and debris removal, which could result in the creation of objectionable odors. These activities would be temporary or periodic, and spatially dispersed, and any associated odors would dissipate quickly from the sources.



The closest sensitive receptor to the Project site is a resident located off Jasper Road, approximately 540 feet from the Heber 2 Parasitic Solar Facility and approximately 1,000 feet from the nearest producing well site. Therefore, given the temporary nature of construction activities and the lack of sensitive receptors in the immediate vicinity of Project components, odor nuisances that would be associated with the Project construction activities are expected to be negligible and impacts would be less than significant.

Operation

According to ICAPCD's Air Quality Handbook (2017), land uses associated with odor complaints include wastewater treatment plants, sanitary landfills, composting stations, feedlots, asphalt plants, painting/coating operations (auto body shops), and rendering plants. The proposed Project does not include any of these types of operations and would not be expected to be a major source of odor impacts. During normal operations, geothermal fluid would be contained within a closed-loop heat exchanger system and reinjected back into the geothermal reservoir. Thus, odors associated with geothermal fluids would not be expected during normal operations. Isopentane has a gasoline-like odor which could be considered objectionable. However, the closest residential sensitive receptors are located more than 3,000 feet from the proposed Dogwood power plant site. Any associated odors would dissipate quickly from the sources and is not expected to affect a substantial number of people. As such impacts during operations would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measure(s)

None Required

4.3.2 Greenhouse Gas

Impact a. Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

Construction Emissions

Construction of the proposed Project would generate GHG emissions over a two-year construction period. Exhaust emissions would result from construction equipment and machinery as well as from vehicular traffic generated by construction activities. Construction and operation GHG emissions were estimated using SCAQMD's CalEEMod 2022.1 model (refer to Attachment A) based on assumptions detailed in **Section 1.2**, including the Project's construction schedule and operation activities. Short-term construction emissions (e.g., off-road equipment and vehicle trips) and annual operation emissions associated with the proposed Project were evaluated. For all GHG emissions assumptions and calculations, see Attachment A. Based on the results of this modeling, construction emissions would result in a maximum of 17,592 MTCO₂e per year. Total project GHG emissions for construction are shown in **Table 16**.



Table 16. Estimated Project Construction GHG Emissions

Construction Year	GHG (MTCO₂e/year)
2025	17,592
2026	7,606.1
TOTAL	25,198

Source: CalEEMod Results in Attachment A

Operational and Maintenance Emissions

As presented in **Section 1.3**, the proposed Project would be staffed by 1-2 personnel. Annual operation and maintenance trips to the Project site would be negligible, adding up to six trips per day to the existing operations at the plant. Additional sources of GHG emissions associated with operations include those related to landscape equipment use for routine maintenance work, water use, and operation of auxiliar stationary equipment (i.e., emergency diesel generator and emergency diesel fire pump) as estimated using CalEEMod. These emissions are estimated to contribute approximately 97 MTCO₂e per year.

The proposed substation includes new circuit breakers that would potentially be insulated with SF₆. Note that CARB amended the *Reducing Sulfur Hexafluoride Emissions from Gas Insulated Switchgear* regulation in 2021 to further reduce GHG emissions from gas-insulated equipment. Key provisions of the amended regulation include a phase-out schedule for new sulfur hexafluoride gas-insulated equipment (January 1, 2025 for voltage less than 145 kV, January 1, 2029 for voltage between 145 and 245 kV, and January 1, 2031 for voltage greater than 245 kB). In the case that SF₆ alternative technology is available and approved prior to construction, the proposed Project would not require SF₆ for project operations. For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that up to three circuit breakers will be insulated with SF₆ with an estimated 25 pounds of SF₆ gas per circuit breaker resulting in a total of 75 pounds of SF₆ gas required at the site. Consistent with the IEC standard for new equipment leakage, a 0.5% per year leakage rate is assumed (USEPA 2016). Accordingly, an estimated 0.375 pounds of SF₆ would be released annually. Using the GWP for SF₆ of 23,300 as summarized in **Table 7 (above)**, annual emissions of 0.375 pounds of SF₆ gas would be equivalent to approximately 3.96 metric tons carbon dioxide equivalent (MTCO₂e).

Amortized Annual Emissions

As summarized in **Table 16** above, total GHG construction emissions would be approximately 25,198 MTCO₂e. In accordance with industry standard, the total GHG emissions from construction were amortized (i.e., averaged annually) over a 30-year timeframe, with a resulting annual emission of 839.93 MTCO₂e per year. **Table 17** presents the total annual GHG emissions for the proposed project are estimated to be 940.89 MTCO₂e per year for the duration of the Project.



Table 17. Proposed Project Amortized Annual GHG Emissions

Emission Source	GHG (MTCO₂e/year)
Construction (amortized over 30-year life of Project)	839.93
Operations (i.e., mobile, area, water)	97
Leaking SF ₆	3.96
TOTAL	940.89

As summarized in **Section 4.2**, the ICAPCD do not have numeric thresholds for GHG emissions for CEQA. Per CEQA Guidelines Section 15064(h)(3), a project's incremental contribution to a cumulative impact can be found not cumulatively considerable if the project will comply with an approved plan or mitigation program that provides specific requirements that will avoid or substantially lessen the cumulative problem within the geographic area of the project. To qualify, such a plan or program must be specified in law or adopted by the public agency with jurisdiction over the affected resources through a public review process to implement, interpret, or make specific the law enforced or administered by the public agency. Examples of such programs include a "air quality attainment or maintenance plan and/or plans or regulations for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions." Put another way, CEQA Guidelines Section 15064(h)(3) allows a lead agency to make a finding of less than significance for GHG emissions if a project complies with regulatory programs to reduce GHG emissions.

In the absence of any adopted numeric threshold, the significance of the proposed project's GHG emissions is evaluated consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4(b) by considering whether the proposed project complies with applicable plans, policies, regulations, and requirements adopted to implement a statewide, regional, or local plan for the reduction or mitigation of GHG emissions. The Imperial Valley Regional Climate Action Plan (Regional CAP; Ascent Environmental 2021) addresses the impacts of climate change and reduce GHG emissions in the Imperial Valley region which includes the County of Imperial (County) and the cities of Brawley, Calexico, Calipatria, Holtville, El Centro, Imperial, and Westmorland. The Regional CAP is consistent with and complementary to statewide legislation and regulatory mandates, and establishes local strategies, measures, and actions aimed at reducing GHG emissions. Accordingly, the proposed Project is evaluated against the Regional CAP and the CARB Scoping Plan. Measures included in the Regional CAP and CARB Scoping Plan would indirectly address GHG emission levels associated with construction activities, including the phasing-in of cleaner technology for diesel engine fleets (including construction equipment) and the development of a lowcarbon fuel standard. Policies formulated under the mandate of AB 32 that apply to construction-related activity either directly or indirectly, are assumed to be implemented statewide and would affect the Project should those policies be implemented before construction begins. Specifically, implementation of AB 32 control measures for reduced vehicle emissions would decrease GHG emissions from the Project. In addition, the Project is a renewable energy project which supports the Regional Plan GHG reduction measures to increase renewable and zero-carbon energy generation including installation of utility scale solar and geothermal energy as a particular focus of GHG Reduction Measures E-2.1 and -2.2.

Regarding management of proposed-project-related SF₆, the applicant would be required to comply with CARB Regulation for *Reducing Sulfur Hexafluoride Emissions from Gas Insulated Switchgear* (Title



17, Sections 95350-95359 of the California Code of Regulations). Compliance with this regulatory measure would ensure consistency with intent of Scoping Plan Measure H-6, *High Global Warming Potential Gas Reductions from Stationary Sources*. Inventories of SF₆ that would be associated with the proposed project would be documented and annually reported to USEPA and CARB. Accordingly, compliance with the Scoping Plan Measure H-6 requirements would ensure that the proposed Project would not conflict with AB 32 or SB 32.

Although not directly applicable to the proposed project, the proposed project would not conflict with population growth projections of the 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS), or its goals associated with GHG reductions. Specifically, the Project would not contribute to population growth outside of those projections. As such, the Project would be consistent with the current land use designation for the Project site and would not create housing or otherwise lead to substantial unplanned population growth in the vicinity and is considered consistent with the GHG reduction goals of the 2020-2045 RTP/SCS.

The plan consistency analysis demonstrates that the Project is consistent with plans, policies, regulations and GHG reduction actions/strategies outlined in the Regional CAP, CARB's Scoping Plan, SCAG's 2020-2045 RTP/SCS, and CARB Regulation for Reducing Sulfur Hexafluoride. As the proposed Project would not conflict with applicable plans, policies, and regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing emissions of GHGs, the proposed project's impacts related to GHG emissions would be less than significant. Further, based on the results of the quantitative analysis as described above, the Project would result in 940.89 MTCO₂e emissions per year (with construction emissions amortized over 30 years). These emissions are significantly less than the screening threshold of 10,000 MTCO₂e per year screening level for industrial projects often used for projects in Imperial County. Because the Project is consistent and does not conflict with the applicable plans, policies, and regulations, and because the Project's incremental increase in GHG emissions is below the 10,000 MTCO₂e per year screening threshold for industrial projects, the Project's incremental increase in GHG emissions of 940.89 MTCO₂e (construction emissions amortized over 30 years) would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measure(s)

None Required

Impact b. Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

As described above, California has enacted several pieces of legislation that relate to GHG emissions and climate change, much of which sets aggressive goals for GHG reductions within the state. The first and most far-reaching is AB 32, now followed by SB 32, in which CARB must ensure that statewide GHG emissions are reduced to 40 percent below the 1990 level by 2030. While AB 32 establishes control measures that would apply to light, medium, and heavy-duty vehicles, and the proposed project would operate those types of vehicles, these measures are being implemented at the state level and the proposed project would not interfere with the implementation of the control measures. Implementation of AB 32 control measures for reduced vehicle emissions would decrease GHG emissions from the Project.

As also described above, CARB approved additional regulation to reduce SF_6 emissions from gas insulated switchgear, implementing Measure H-6 of the AB 32 Scoping Plan. The Project is required to



comply with this regulation, thus reducing GHG emissions and being consistent with the AB 32 Scoping Plan, the Scoping Plan update, and the *Regulation for Reducing Sulfur Hexafluoride Emissions from Gas Insulated Switchgear* (Title 17, Sections 95350-95359 of the California Code of Regulations). Accordingly, the proposed Project would be conducted in compliance with applicable plans, policies and regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs and impacts would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measure(s)

None Required



SECTION 5 References

- Ascent Environmental. 2021. Imperial County Regional Climate Action Plan. Available at: <u>https://www.imperialctc.org/assets/documents/transportation-plans-and-studies/ICTC-</u> <u>Regional-Climate-Action-Plan FINAL.pdf</u>. Accessed July 28, 2023.
- California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2014. First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan. Available at:

https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic//cc/scopingplan/2013_update/first_update_cl imate_change_scoping_plan.pdf. Accessed Jun 15, 2023.

- California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2023. Maps of State and Federal Area Designations. Available at: <u>https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/maps-state-and-federal-area-designations</u>. Accessed June 9, 2023.
- Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD). 2017. CEQA Air Quality Handbook. Available at: <u>https://apcd.imperialcounty.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/CEQAHandbk.pdf</u>. Accessed June 9, 2023.
- Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). 2015. Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines: The Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments. February, 2003. Available at: <u>https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/crnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf</u>. Accessed June 15, 2023.
- Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). 2020. 2020–2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (Connect SoCal). Available at: <u>https://scag.ca.gov/connect-socal</u>. Accessed June 9, 2023.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. SF₆ Leak Rates from High Voltage Circuit Breakers – U.S. EPA Investigates Potential Greenhouse Gas Emission Source. Available at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2016-02/documents/leakrates_circuitbreakers.pdf</u>. Accessed July 26, 2023.



Attachment A CalEEMod Air Quality and GHG **Emissions Data**

Dogwood v2 Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
 - 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Site Preparation (2025) Unmitigated
 - 3.2. Site Preparation (2025) Mitigated
 - 3.3. Project Construction (2025) Unmitigated

- 3.4. Project Construction (2025) Mitigated
- 3.5. Project Construction (2026) Unmitigated
- 3.6. Project Construction (2026) Mitigated
- 3.7. Well Drilling and Pipeline (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.8. Well Drilling and Pipeline (2025) Mitigated
- 3.9. Well Drilling and Pipeline (2026) Unmitigated
- 3.10. Well Drilling and Pipeline (2026) Mitigated
- 3.11. Substation Development (2026) Unmitigated
- 3.12. Substation Development (2026) Mitigated
- 3.13. Testing and Operational (2026) Unmitigated
- 3.14. Testing and Operational (2026) Mitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
 - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.1.2. Mitigated
 - 4.2. Energy
 - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
 - 4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Mitigated

- 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
- 4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Mitigated
- 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
 - 4.3.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.3.2. Mitigated
- 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.4.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.4.2. Mitigated
- 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.5.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.5.2. Mitigated
- 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.6.2. Mitigated
- 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.7.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.7.2. Mitigated
- 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

4.8.2. Mitigated

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

4.9.2. Mitigated

- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
 - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
 - 4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Mitigated
 - 4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Mitigated
 - 4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Mitigated
- 5. Activity Data
 - 5.1. Construction Schedule
 - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.2.2. Mitigated
 - 5.3. Construction Vehicles

- 5.3.1. Unmitigated
- 5.3.2. Mitigated
- 5.4. Vehicles
 - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
- 5.5. Architectural Coatings
- 5.6. Dust Mitigation
 - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
 - 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
 - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.9.2. Mitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
 - 5.10.1. Hearths
 - 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.10.1.2. Mitigated
 - 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

- 5.10.4. Landscape Equipment Mitigated
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
 - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.11.2. Mitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
 - 5.12.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.12.2. Mitigated
- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
 - 5.13.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.13.2. Mitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
 - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.14.2. Mitigated
- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.15.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.15.2. Mitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

- 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

- 5.18.1. Land Use Change
 - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.18.1.2. Mitigated
- 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
 - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.18.1.2. Mitigated
- 5.18.2. Sequestration
 - 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.18.2.2. Mitigated
- 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report
 - 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
 - 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
 - 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
 - 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

- 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
- 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
- 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
- 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
- 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
- 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	Dogwood v2
Construction Start Date	1/10/2025
Operational Year	2027
Lead Agency	_
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	3.40
Precipitation (days)	4.80
Location	32.71374504137074, -115.53951194382259
County	Imperial
City	Unincorporated
Air District	Imperial County APCD
Air Basin	Salton Sea
TAZ	5611
EDFZ	19
Electric Utility	Imperial Irrigation District
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.26

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)		Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
General Heavy Industry	5,401	1000sqft	124	5,401,440	0.00	0.00		

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Construction	C-5	Use Advanced Engine Tiers

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

		· · ·		, ,	,	,		· ·	· · · ·		,	/						
Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	—	—
Unmit.	35.6	29.5	270	308	0.84	7.38	2,349	2,357	6.82	236	242	_	84,068	84,068	2.54	4.17	80.7	85,446
Mit.	11.0	10.7	84.5	520	1.30	2.27	2,349	2,352	2.26	236	238	_	128,360	128,360	4.34	4.53	80.7	129,891
% Reduced	69%	64%	69%	-69%	-55%	69%	_	< 0.5%	67%	-	2%	_	-53%	-53%	-71%	-9%	-	-52%
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	
Unmit.	35.4	29.4	272	304	0.84	7.38	2,349	2,357	6.82	236	242	_	83,891	83,891	2.55	4.17	2.09	85,198
Mit.	10.8	10.5	87.1	517	1.30	2.27	2,349	2,352	2.26	236	238	_	128,184	128,184	4.34	4.53	2.09	129,643
% Reduced	69%	64%	68%	-70%	-55%	69%	-	< 0.5%	67%	-	2%	-	-53%	-53%	-71%	-9%	-	-52%
Average Daily (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	31.2	25.9	230	250	0.73	6.89	1,832	1,839	6.37	184	190	_	75,117	75,117	2.33	3.43	28.7	76,226
Mit.	9.30	8.99	76.7	422	1.01	1.94	1,832	1,834	1.93	184	186	_	105,045	105,045	3.54	3.68	28.7	106,258
% Reduced	70%	65%	67%	-69%	-38%	72%	_	< 0.5%	70%	-	2%	_	-40%	-40%	-52%	-7%	-	-39%

Annual (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unmit.	5.69	4.72	41.9	45.6	0.13	1.26	334	336	1.16	33.6	34.7	_	12,436	12,436	0.39	0.57	4.75	12,620
Mit.	1.70	1.64	14.0	77.1	0.18	0.35	334	335	0.35	33.6	33.9	_	17,391	17,391	0.59	0.61	4.75	17,592
% Reduced	70%	65%	67%	-69%	-38%	72%	-	< 0.5%	70%	-	2%	_	-40%	-40%	-52%	-7%	-	-39%

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-
2025	33.2	27.5	243	269	0.80	7.38	2,237	2,244	6.82	224	231	_	83,285	83,285	2.49	4.13	80.7	84,658
2026	35.6	29.5	270	308	0.84	7.24	2,349	2,357	6.69	236	242	_	84,068	84,068	2.54	4.17	73.6	85,446
Daily - Winter (Max)	_	—	_	-	_	-	—	—	_	_	_	—	-	—	_	—	—	-
2025	33.0	27.4	246	266	0.80	7.38	2,237	2,244	6.82	224	231	_	83,165	83,165	2.50	4.13	2.09	84,460
2026	35.4	29.4	272	304	0.84	7.24	2,349	2,357	6.69	236	242	_	83,891	83,891	2.55	4.17	1.91	85,198
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	-	—	-	—	-	-	-	_	—	_	-	-	—	—
2025	31.2	25.9	230	250	0.73	6.89	1,832	1,839	6.37	184	190	_	75,117	75,117	2.33	3.43	28.7	76,226
2026	8.60	7.14	65.2	72.7	0.26	1.89	1,107	1,109	1.75	111	113	_	29,385	29,385	0.76	1.98	15.7	30,011
Annual	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_
2025	5.69	4.72	41.9	45.6	0.13	1.26	334	336	1.16	33.6	34.7	_	12,436	12,436	0.39	0.57	4.75	12,620
2026	1.57	1.30	11.9	13.3	0.05	0.34	202	202	0.32	20.3	20.6	_	4,865	4,865	0.13	0.33	2.59	4,969

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)		_	—	—	_		—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	-
2025	10.3	9.90	80.7	466	1.12	2.16	2,237	2,239	2.15	224	227	—	117,867	117,867	3.89	4.41	80.7	119,359
2026	11.0	10.7	84.5	520	1.30	2.27	2,349	2,352	2.26	236	238	_	128,360	128,360	4.34	4.53	73.6	129,891
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	-
2025	10.1	9.76	83.4	464	1.12	2.16	2,237	2,239	2.15	224	227		117,747	117,747	3.90	4.41	2.09	119,160
2026	10.8	10.5	87.1	517	1.30	2.27	2,349	2,352	2.26	236	238	—	128,184	128,184	4.34	4.53	1.91	129,643
Average Daily	—	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	—
2025	9.30	8.99	76.7	422	1.01	1.94	1,832	1,834	1.93	184	186	_	105,045	105,045	3.54	3.68	28.7	106,258
2026	3.62	3.49	33.4	169	0.41	0.80	1,107	1,108	0.80	111	112	_	45,261	45,261	1.40	2.11	15.7	45,941
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
2025	1.70	1.64	14.0	77.1	0.18	0.35	334	335	0.35	33.6	33.9	_	17,391	17,391	0.59	0.61	4.75	17,592
2026	0.66	0.64	6.10	30.8	0.08	0.15	202	202	0.15	20.3	20.4	_	7,494	7,494	0.23	0.35	2.59	7,606

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

1.1. /8.4%						DIALOF		DIMOT				DOOO		0007				
Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.51	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	_	_	-		—		—			—			_			_
Unmit.	42.0	38.7	2.34	235	0.02	0.44	6.87	7.31	0.33	0.69	1.02	0.00	1,081	1,081	0.04	0.01	0.16	1,086
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_												-
Unmit.	0.16	0.14	0.36	0.47	< 0.005	0.02	6.87	6.89	0.02	0.69	0.71	0.00	109	109	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	110

Average Daily (Max)	—				_				_	_		_		_	_		_	
Unmit.	20.8	19.2	1.34	116	0.01	0.22	5.81	6.04	0.17	0.58	0.76	0.00	581	581	0.02	0.01	0.06	584
Annual (Max)	—	—		—	—		_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_		_	—
Unmit.	3.79	3.50	0.24	21.2	< 0.005	0.04	1.06	1.10	0.03	0.11	0.14	0.00	96.3	96.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	96.7

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	—	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Mobile	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.24	< 0.005	< 0.005	6.87	6.87	< 0.005	0.69	0.69	_	53.0	53.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16	53.9
Area	41.8	38.6	1.98	235	0.01	0.42	_	0.42	0.32	_	0.32	_	966	966	0.04	0.01	_	970
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Water	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.37
Waste	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Refrig.	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	0.00	0.00
Stationa ry	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.9	61.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.1
Total	42.0	38.7	2.34	235	0.02	0.44	6.87	7.31	0.33	0.69	1.02	0.00	1,081	1,081	0.04	0.01	0.16	1,086
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	-	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Mobile	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	6.87	6.87	< 0.005	0.69	0.69	_	46.6	46.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	47.4
Area	0.00	0.00	—	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	—	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Water	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	-	_	—	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.37
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

Refrig.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	-	—	-	-	-	_	_	0.00	0.00
Stationa ry	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.9	61.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.1
Total	0.16	0.14	0.36	0.47	< 0.005	0.02	6.87	6.89	0.02	0.69	0.71	0.00	109	109	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	110
Average Daily	—	-	-	_	—	-	—	—	-	-	—	-	-	—	—	—	_	-
Mobile	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	5.81	5.81	< 0.005	0.58	0.58	-	42.2	42.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	42.9
Area	20.6	19.0	0.97	116	0.01	0.21	—	0.21	0.16	—	0.16	—	476	476	0.02	< 0.005	—	478
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.37
Waste	—	_	_	-	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Refrig.	-	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	-	_	-	—	_	0.00	0.00
Stationa ry	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	62.4	62.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.6
Total	20.8	19.2	1.34	116	0.01	0.22	5.81	6.04	0.17	0.58	0.76	0.00	581	581	0.02	0.01	0.06	584
Annual	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	_	_	-
Mobile	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.06	1.06	< 0.005	0.11	0.11	-	6.99	6.99	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.10
Area	3.76	3.47	0.18	21.1	< 0.005	0.04	_	0.04	0.03	_	0.03	-	78.9	78.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	79.2
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.06
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Refrig.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	0.00	0.00
Stationa ry	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	10.3	10.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	10.4
Total	3.79	3.50	0.24	21.2	< 0.005	0.04	1.06	1.10	0.03	0.11	0.14	0.00	96.3	96.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	96.7

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector TOG ROG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O R CO2e

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Mobile	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.24	< 0.005	< 0.005	6.87	6.87	< 0.005	0.69	0.69	_	53.0	53.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16	53.9
Area	41.8	38.6	1.98	235	0.01	0.42	—	0.42	0.32	-	0.32	_	966	966	0.04	0.01	-	970
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Water	—	-	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.37
Waste	—	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Refrig.	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	0.00	0.00
Stationa ry	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.9	61.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.1
Total	42.0	38.7	2.34	235	0.02	0.44	6.87	7.31	0.33	0.69	1.02	0.00	1,081	1,081	0.04	0.01	0.16	1,086
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	-	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—
Mobile	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	6.87	6.87	< 0.005	0.69	0.69	—	46.6	46.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	47.4
Area	0.00	0.00	_	-	_	-	—	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Water	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	—	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.37
Waste	—	-	—	-	-	-	-	—	—	-	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Refrig.	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	0.00	0.00
Stationa ry	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.9	61.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.1
Total	0.16	0.14	0.36	0.47	< 0.005	0.02	6.87	6.89	0.02	0.69	0.71	0.00	109	109	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	110
Average Daily	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	—	_	_	-	-	-	—
Mobile	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	5.81	5.81	< 0.005	0.58	0.58	—	42.2	42.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	42.9
Area	20.6	19.0	0.97	116	0.01	0.21	—	0.21	0.16	-	0.16	—	476	476	0.02	< 0.005	—	478
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Water	—	-	_	-	_	—	—	_	—	-	—	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.37
Waste	—	-	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

Refrig.	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	0.00	0.00
Stationa ry	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	62.4	62.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.6
Total	20.8	19.2	1.34	116	0.01	0.22	5.81	6.04	0.17	0.58	0.76	0.00	581	581	0.02	0.01	0.06	584
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	-	—	—	—
Mobile	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.06	1.06	< 0.005	0.11	0.11	—	6.99	6.99	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.10
Area	3.76	3.47	0.18	21.1	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	78.9	78.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	79.2
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.06
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	0.00	0.00
Stationa ry	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	10.3	10.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	10.4
Total	3.79	3.50	0.24	21.2	< 0.005	0.04	1.06	1.10	0.03	0.11	0.14	0.00	96.3	96.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	96.7

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Site Preparation (2025) - Unmitigated

		· ·			<i>.</i>	· · · ·		· · ·				· · ·						
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	—	_			_		—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—		—	—		—		—		—		—	—	—		_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	3.87	3.25	22.8	23.3	0.09	0.84		0.84	0.77		0.77		9,387	9,387	0.38	0.08		9,419

Dust From Material Movemer		_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	_	_		_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.65	0.54	3.82	3.89	0.01	0.14	—	0.14	0.13	_	0.13	_	1,569	1,569	0.06	0.01		1,574
Dust From Material Movemer			_			_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_					_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.12	0.10	0.70	0.71	< 0.005	0.03	-	0.03	0.02	-	0.02	-	260	260	0.01	< 0.005	-	261
Dust From Material Movemer	—	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.21	1.93	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	334	334	0.02	0.01	0.04	339

Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.43	0.18	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	—	365	365	< 0.005	0.05	0.03	380
Hauling	0.01	0.01	0.58	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	31.0	31.0	0.01	3.11	3.12	—	476	476	< 0.005	0.08	0.03	499
Average Daily	_	—	—		_	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.39	0.00	0.00	17.1	17.1	0.00	1.72	1.72	—	59.9	59.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	60.8
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.35	4.35	< 0.005	0.44	0.44	—	61.0	61.0	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	63.6
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	5.12	5.12	< 0.005	0.51	0.52	—	79.5	79.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	83.4
Annual	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	—	-	_	_	-	_	—	_	_	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	3.13	3.13	0.00	0.31	0.31	_	9.92	9.92	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	10.1
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.79	0.79	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	_	10.1	10.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	10.5
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.93	0.93	< 0.005	0.09	0.09	_	13.2	13.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	13.8

3.2. Site Preparation (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

			,	,	, ·			· ·	<u>, </u>	<i>,</i> ,		, ,						
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—			—	—		—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—		_	—	—		_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.54	1.54	30.3	68.8	0.13	0.26		0.26	0.26		0.26		13,837	13,837	0.56	0.11		13,885
Dust From Material Movemer					_		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00				_			
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	_	—	_	_	—	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.26	0.26	5.07	11.5	0.02	0.04		0.04	0.04	-	0.04		2,313	2,313	0.09	0.02	_	2,320
Dust From Material Movemer	 it	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.05	0.05	0.93	2.10	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	383	383	0.02	< 0.005	_	384
Dust From Material Movemer	it	_	_	_	-	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	_	_	_	_		-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	-
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.21	1.93	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	334	334	0.02	0.01	0.04	339
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.43	0.18	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	_	365	365	< 0.005	0.05	0.03	380
Hauling	0.01	0.01	0.58	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	31.0	31.0	0.01	3.11	3.12	_	476	476	< 0.005	0.08	0.03	499
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	—	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.39	0.00	0.00	17.1	17.1	0.00	1.72	1.72	_	59.9	59.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	60.8

Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.35	4.35	< 0.005	0.44	0.44	_	61.0	61.0	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	63.6
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	5.12	5.12	< 0.005	0.51	0.52	_	79.5	79.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	83.4
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	3.13	3.13	0.00	0.31	0.31	_	9.92	9.92	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	10.1
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.79	0.79	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	—	10.1	10.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	10.5
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.93	0.93	< 0.005	0.09	0.09	_	13.2	13.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	13.8

3.3. Project Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D		BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	_	_	-	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—		—	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—		_	_	—	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	9.79	8.18	60.7	80.2	0.19	2.28		2.28	2.10		2.10		19,552	19,552	0.79	0.16		19,619
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—			—	_	_	_	—	—		—	—	_	—	_	_	—	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	9.79	8.18	60.7	80.2	0.19	2.28		2.28	2.10		2.10		19,552	19,552	0.79	0.16	_	19,619
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Off-Roa d Equipm ent	7.91	6.62	49.1	64.8	0.15	1.84	_	1.84	1.70	_	1.70	_	15,802	15,802	0.64	0.13	_	15,857
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	_	-	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.44	1.21	8.95	11.8	0.03	0.34	_	0.34	0.31	_	0.31	_	2,616	2,616	0.11	0.02	_	2,625
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	—	-	_	_	_	—	_
Worker	0.30	0.28	0.17	3.19	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	-	394	394	0.02	0.01	1.38	401
Vendor	0.64	0.43	21.9	6.52	0.20	0.40	1,994	1,994	0.40	200	201	—	26,711	26,711	0.20	3.58	76.6	27,860
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.86	8.87	< 0.005	0.89	0.89	—	136	136	< 0.005	0.02	0.29	143
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	_	-	-	—	_	—	-	—	-	—	-	_	_	_	—	_
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.21	1.93	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	334	334	0.02	0.01	0.04	339
Vendor	0.63	0.42	24.5	6.35	0.20	0.40	1,994	1,994	0.40	200	201	-	26,712	26,712	0.20	3.58	1.99	27,786
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.17	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.86	8.87	< 0.005	0.89	0.89	—	136	136	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	143
Average Daily		_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	—	_		_	_	_	_	—
Worker	0.21	0.19	0.16	1.88	0.00	0.00	82.8	82.8	0.00	8.30	8.30	_	290	290	0.02	0.01	0.48	294
Vendor	0.51	0.35	19.6	5.28	0.16	0.32	1,590	1,590	0.32	160	160	—	21,589	21,589	0.17	2.89	26.8	22,482
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.13	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	7.07	7.07	< 0.005	0.71	0.71	—	110	110	< 0.005	0.02	0.10	115
Annual	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.34	0.00	0.00	15.1	15.1	0.00	1.51	1.51	-	48.0	48.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	48.7

Vendor	0.09	0.06	3.58	0.96	0.03	0.06	290	290	0.06	29.1	29.2	—	3,574	3,574	0.03	0.48	4.44	3,722
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.29	1.29	< 0.005	0.13	0.13	—	18.2	18.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	19.1

3.4. Project Construction (2025) - Mitigated

Ontenta	a rollatants (lb/day for daily, tony i for almoal) and Crices (lb/day for daily, w								, ivi i /									
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	4.57	4.57	33.1	247	0.44	0.88		0.88	0.88		0.88		46,745	46,745	1.90	0.38		46,906
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	_		_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	4.57	4.57	33.1	247	0.44	0.88	_	0.88	0.88	_	0.88	_	46,745	46,745	1.90	0.38	-	46,906
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	3.69	3.69	26.8	200	0.36	0.71	_	0.71	0.71		0.71		37,780	37,780	1.53	0.31		37,910
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
					1		1	1	-	1	1			1	-			_

Off-Roa Equipmer	0.67 nt	0.67	4.89	36.5	0.07	0.13	_	0.13	0.13	_	0.13	_	6,255	6,255	0.25	0.05	-	6,276
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-		_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-
Worker	0.30	0.28	0.17	3.19	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	394	394	0.02	0.01	1.38	401
Vendor	0.64	0.43	21.9	6.52	0.20	0.40	1,994	1,994	0.40	200	201	—	26,711	26,711	0.20	3.58	76.6	27,860
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.86	8.87	< 0.005	0.89	0.89	-	136	136	< 0.005	0.02	0.29	143
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.21	1.93	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	334	334	0.02	0.01	0.04	339
Vendor	0.63	0.42	24.5	6.35	0.20	0.40	1,994	1,994	0.40	200	201	_	26,712	26,712	0.20	3.58	1.99	27,786
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.17	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.86	8.87	< 0.005	0.89	0.89	_	136	136	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	143
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	—
Worker	0.21	0.19	0.16	1.88	0.00	0.00	82.8	82.8	0.00	8.30	8.30	_	290	290	0.02	0.01	0.48	294
Vendor	0.51	0.35	19.6	5.28	0.16	0.32	1,590	1,590	0.32	160	160	_	21,589	21,589	0.17	2.89	26.8	22,482
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.13	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	7.07	7.07	< 0.005	0.71	0.71	_	110	110	< 0.005	0.02	0.10	115
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.34	0.00	0.00	15.1	15.1	0.00	1.51	1.51	_	48.0	48.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	48.7
Vendor	0.09	0.06	3.58	0.96	0.03	0.06	290	290	0.06	29.1	29.2	_	3,574	3,574	0.03	0.48	4.44	3,722
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.29	1.29	< 0.005	0.13	0.13	_	18.2	18.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	19.1

3.5. Project Construction (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	9.36	7.83	56.9	79.7	0.19	2.02		2.02	1.86		1.86		19,555	19,555	0.79	0.16		19,622
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_		_	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	9.36	7.83	56.9	79.7	0.19	2.02		2.02	1.86	_	1.86		19,555	19,555	0.79	0.16	_	19,622
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	_	—		—	_	_	_	_	—	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	4.74	3.97	28.8	40.4	0.10	1.02	_	1.02	0.94	-	0.94	-	9,912	9,912	0.40	0.08	-	9,946
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	_	—	_	_	-	_	—	—	-	—	—	—	_	_	-	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.87	0.72	5.26	7.37	0.02	0.19		0.19	0.17	-	0.17		1,641	1,641	0.07	0.01	-	1,647
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)				_	_					_			_		_			-

Worker	0.27	0.26	0.16	2.94	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	387	387	0.02	0.01	1.26	393
Vendor	0.64	0.43	20.5	5.53	0.20	0.40	1,994	1,994	0.40	200	201	_	26,206	26,206	0.20	3.58	68.4	27,346
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.86	8.87	< 0.005	0.89	0.89	_	133	133	< 0.005	0.02	0.28	140
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	-	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	-
Worker	0.21	0.20	0.18	1.78	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	328	328	0.02	0.01	0.03	332
Vendor	0.63	0.42	22.9	5.35	0.20	0.40	1,994	1,994	0.40	200	201	—	26,207	26,207	0.20	3.58	1.78	27,280
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.86	8.87	< 0.005	0.89	0.89	_	133	133	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	139
Average Daily	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.12	0.11	0.09	1.09	0.00	0.00	52.0	52.0	0.00	5.20	5.20	_	178	178	0.01	0.01	0.28	181
Vendor	0.32	0.22	11.5	2.81	0.10	0.20	997	997	0.20	100	100	_	13,283	13,283	0.10	1.81	15.0	13,841
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.43	4.43	< 0.005	0.45	0.45	_	67.4	67.4	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	70.7
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.20	0.00	0.00	9.48	9.48	0.00	0.95	0.95	_	29.5	29.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	30.0
Vendor	0.06	0.04	2.10	0.51	0.02	0.04	182	182	0.04	18.3	18.3	_	2,199	2,199	0.02	0.30	2.48	2,292
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.81	0.81	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	_	11.2	11.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	11.7

3.6. Project Construction (2026) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	_			—			—	—	—			—	—	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	4.57	4.57	33.1	247	0.44	0.88		0.88	0.88		0.88		46,778	46,778	1.90	0.38		46,939

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	4.57	4.57	33.1	247	0.44	0.88	_	0.88	0.88	_	0.88	_	46,778	46,778	1.90	0.38	—	46,939
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	_	—	-	-	-	—	-	—	-	—	-	-	-	-	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	2.32	2.32	16.8	125	0.22	0.45	_	0.45	0.45	_	0.45	_	23,709	23,709	0.96	0.19		23,791
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-	_	-	—	_	_	_	-	_	—	_	—	_	-	-	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.42	0.42	3.07	22.9	0.04	0.08	_	0.08	0.08	_	0.08	_	3,925	3,925	0.16	0.03	_	3,939
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	—	_
Worker	0.27	0.26	0.16	2.94	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	387	387	0.02	0.01	1.26	393
Vendor	0.64	0.43	20.5	5.53	0.20	0.40	1,994	1,994	0.40	200	201	—	26,206	26,206	0.20	3.58	68.4	27,346
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.86	8.87	< 0.005	0.89	0.89	-	133	133	< 0.005	0.02	0.28	140
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_

Worker	0.21	0.20	0.18	1.78	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	328	328	0.02	0.01	0.03	332
Vendor	0.63	0.42	22.9	5.35	0.20	0.40	1,994	1,994	0.40	200	201	_	26,207	26,207	0.20	3.58	1.78	27,280
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.86	8.87	< 0.005	0.89	0.89	_	133	133	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	139
Average Daily	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Worker	0.12	0.11	0.09	1.09	0.00	0.00	52.0	52.0	0.00	5.20	5.20	_	178	178	0.01	0.01	0.28	181
Vendor	0.32	0.22	11.5	2.81	0.10	0.20	997	997	0.20	100	100	_	13,283	13,283	0.10	1.81	15.0	13,841
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.43	4.43	< 0.005	0.45	0.45	_	67.4	67.4	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	70.7
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.20	0.00	0.00	9.48	9.48	0.00	0.95	0.95	_	29.5	29.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	30.0
Vendor	0.06	0.04	2.10	0.51	0.02	0.04	182	182	0.04	18.3	18.3	_	2,199	2,199	0.02	0.30	2.48	2,292
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.81	0.81	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	_	11.2	11.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	11.7

3.7. Well Drilling and Pipeline (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	22.1	18.3	160	176	0.41	4.69	_	4.69	4.32	_	4.32	_	35,732	35,732	1.45	0.29	-	35,855
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_		—	—					—		—		—		—

Off-Roa	22.1	18.3	160	176	0.41	4.69	_	4.69	4.32	_	4.32	_	35,732	35,732	1.45	0.29	_	35,855
d Equipm ent																		
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	—	-	_	-	—	-	—	-	—	-	-	-	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	21.6	17.9	156	171	0.40	4.58	_	4.58	4.21	_	4.21	_	34,851	34,851	1.41	0.28	_	34,970
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	3.93	3.26	28.5	31.3	0.07	0.83	-	0.83	0.77		0.77		5,770	5,770	0.23	0.05	-	5,790
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	_	-	_	—	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	—	_	_	-
Worker	0.30	0.28	0.17	3.19	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	394	394	0.02	0.01	1.38	401
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.39	0.17	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	—	365	365	< 0.005	0.05	1.01	381
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	—	_	_		_	—	-	—	_	—	-	_	_	_	—	_
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.21	1.93	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	334	334	0.02	0.01	0.04	339
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.43	0.18	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	—	365	365	< 0.005	0.05	0.03	380
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
Worker	0.25	0.22	0.19	2.27	0.00	0.00	100.0	100.0	0.00	10.0	10.0	_	350	350	0.02	0.01	0.58	355
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.42	0.17	< 0.005	0.01	25.4	25.4	0.01	2.55	2.55	_	356	356	< 0.005	0.05	0.43	371
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.41	0.00	0.00	18.2	18.2	0.00	1.83	1.83	_	57.9	57.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	58.8
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.63	4.63	< 0.005	0.46	0.47	_	59.0	59.0	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	61.4
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.8. Well Drilling and Pipeline (2025) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx		SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T		PM2.5D		BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
	100	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			002					1 1012.00	1 1012.01	0002	NECCZ	0021		1420		0020
Onsite	-	-	—	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	4.42	4.33	24.8	206	0.48	0.88	_	0.88	0.87	_	0.87		43,121	43,121	1.75	0.35	_	43,269
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	-	_	_	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	_	_	-	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	4.42	4.33	24.8	206	0.48	0.88		0.88	0.87	_	0.87		43,121	43,121	1.75	0.35		43,269
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	_	—	—	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	4.31	4.22	24.2	201	0.46	0.86		0.86	0.85	_	0.85		42,058	42,058	1.71	0.34	_	42,202
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.79	0.77	4.42	36.6	0.08	0.16	_	0.16	0.15	_	0.15		6,963	6,963	0.28	0.06	_	6,987
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	—	-	_	_	—	-	—	_	_	-	_	_		—	_
Worker	0.30	0.28	0.17	3.19	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	-	394	394	0.02	0.01	1.38	401
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.39	0.17	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	-	365	365	< 0.005	0.05	1.01	381
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	-	—	-	_	-	—	-	-	_	_	-	—	_		_	_
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.21	1.93	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	-	334	334	0.02	0.01	0.04	339
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.43	0.18	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	-	365	365	< 0.005	0.05	0.03	380
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	—	—	_	-	—	—	-	—	-	—	—	_	—	-	_	—	-
Worker	0.25	0.22	0.19	2.27	0.00	0.00	100.0	100.0	0.00	10.0	10.0	—	350	350	0.02	0.01	0.58	355
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.42	0.17	< 0.005	0.01	25.4	25.4	0.01	2.55	2.55	-	356	356	< 0.005	0.05	0.43	371
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	-	_	-	—	—	—	—

Worker	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.41	0.00	0.00	18.2	18.2	0.00	1.83	1.83	—	57.9	57.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	58.8
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.63	4.63	< 0.005	0.46	0.47	_	59.0	59.0	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	61.4
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Well Drilling and Pipeline (2026) - Unmitigated

	1 Onate			adiny, tor	<i>"</i>				<i>xy</i> 101 ac	<i>,</i> ,,					_			
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—	-	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		—		-	—		_	_	_	—	—	_	—	_		_	—	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	20.8	17.2	154	175	0.41	4.06		4.06	3.73		3.73		35,741	35,741	1.45	0.29	_	35,863
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	—	—	-	-	-	-	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.28	0.24	2.11	2.39	0.01	0.06	-	0.06	0.05	_	0.05	-	490	490	0.02	< 0.005	_	491
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.05	0.04	0.38	0.44	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01		0.01		81.1	81.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	81.3

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	—	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-
Worker	0.21	0.20	0.18	1.78	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	328	328	0.02	0.01	0.03	332
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.41	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	_	358	358	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	373
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	_	-	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	-	_	—	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	1.40	1.40	0.00	0.14	0.14	_	4.82	4.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.89
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.36	0.36	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	_	4.91	4.91	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.12
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	0.80	0.80	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.81
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.07	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	0.81	0.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.85
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.10. Well Drilling and Pipeline (2026) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_		—		—		—		—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		_		_						_		—		_		_	—

Off-Roa Equipme	4.40 nt	4.31	24.6	206	0.48	0.87	_	0.87	0.86	_	0.86	_	43,138	43,138	1.75	0.35	—	43,286
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	_	-	_	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.06	0.06	0.34	2.82	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	-	591	591	0.02	< 0.005	_	593
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	97.8	97.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	98.2
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-
Worker	0.21	0.20	0.18	1.78	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	328	328	0.02	0.01	0.03	332
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.41	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	_	358	358	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	373
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	_		_	—	_	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	1.40	1.40	0.00	0.14	0.14	_	4.82	4.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.89
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.36	0.36	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	_	4.91	4.91	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.12
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	0.80	0.80	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.81
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.07	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	0.81	0.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.85
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Substation Development (2026) - Unmitigated

Location		ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T		PM2.5D		BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	-	-	_	-	—	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	-	—	—	—	—	—		_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	3.74	3.13	24.9	28.0	0.08	0.79		0.79	0.73		0.73		8,384	8,384	0.34	0.07		8,413
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	3.74	3.13	24.9	28.0	0.08	0.79		0.79	0.73		0.73		8,384	8,384	0.34	0.07		8,413
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.24	1.04	8.24	9.29	0.03	0.26		0.26	0.24		0.24		2,779	2,779	0.11	0.02		2,789

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	-	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.23	0.19	1.50	1.70	< 0.005	0.05		0.05	0.04	_	0.04		460	460	0.02	< 0.005		462
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.27	0.26	0.16	2.94	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	387	387	0.02	0.01	1.26	393
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.37	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	—	358	358	< 0.005	0.05	0.90	374
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_		—	—	_	_	_	—		_	_	—	—	_	
Worker	0.21	0.20	0.18	1.78	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	-	328	328	0.02	0.01	0.03	332
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.41	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	_	358	358	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	373
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	-	_	_	-	—	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.71	0.00	0.00	34.0	34.0	0.00	3.40	3.40	—	117	117	0.01	< 0.005	0.18	118
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.13	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.62	8.63	< 0.005	0.87	0.87	_	119	119	< 0.005	0.02	0.13	124
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.00	6.20	6.20	0.00	0.62	0.62	_	19.3	19.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	19.6
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.57	1.57	< 0.005	0.16	0.16	_	19.7	19.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	20.5
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.12. Substation Development (2026) - Mitigated

omona				daily, tor							-							
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	-	—		_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	-	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.12	1.10	7.53	55.5	0.10	0.22		0.22	0.22	_	0.22	_	10,523	10,523	0.43	0.09	-	10,559
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	—	—	-	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	-	_		—	—	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.12	1.10	7.53	55.5	0.10	0.22	-	0.22	0.22	-	0.22	_	10,523	10,523	0.43	0.09	-	10,559
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	—	-	—	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.37	0.37	2.50	18.4	0.03	0.07	_	0.07	0.07		0.07	_	3,488	3,488	0.14	0.03	—	3,500
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.07	0.07	0.46	3.36	0.01	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01		578	578	0.02	< 0.005	_	580

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.27	0.26	0.16	2.94	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	387	387	0.02	0.01	1.26	393
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.37	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	_	358	358	< 0.005	0.05	0.90	374
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Worker	0.21	0.20	0.18	1.78	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	328	328	0.02	0.01	0.03	332
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.41	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	26.4	26.4	0.01	2.65	2.65	_	358	358	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	373
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.71	0.00	0.00	34.0	34.0	0.00	3.40	3.40	_	117	117	0.01	< 0.005	0.18	118
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.13	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	8.62	8.63	< 0.005	0.87	0.87	_	119	119	< 0.005	0.02	0.13	124
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.00	6.20	6.20	0.00	0.62	0.62	_	19.3	19.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	19.6
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.57	1.57	< 0.005	0.16	0.16	_	19.7	19.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	20.5
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Testing and Operational (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)				_	_				_			_			_			
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	21.0	17.4	166	186	0.36	4.02	_	4.02	3.69		3.69		28,147	28,147	1.14	0.23		28,244
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	21.0	17.4	166	186	0.36	4.02	_	4.02	3.69		3.69		28,147	28,147	1.14	0.23		28,244
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.78	1.47	14.1	15.8	0.03	0.34		0.34	0.31	-	0.31	-	2,391	2,391	0.10	0.02	_	2,399
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.33	0.27	2.58	2.88	0.01	0.06	_	0.06	0.06	_	0.06	_	396	396	0.02	< 0.005	_	397
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	—	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Worker	0.27	0.26	0.16	2.94	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	387	387	0.02	0.01	1.26	393
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	9.04	9.04	< 0.005	0.91	0.91	-	124	124	< 0.005	0.02	0.31	129
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	-	—	—	—		—	-	_	—	—
Worker	0.21	0.20	0.18	1.78	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	328	328	0.02	0.01	0.03	332
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	9.04	9.04	< 0.005	0.91	0.91	—	124	124	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	129
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	—	_	-	-	-	—	-	—	_	-	—	—	-	—	-	-	-
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.18	0.00	0.00	8.71	8.71	0.00	0.87	0.87	_	29.9	29.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	30.3
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.76	0.76	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	-	10.5	10.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	10.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	_	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	1.59	1.59	0.00	0.16	0.16	_	4.95	4.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.02
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.14	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	1.74	1.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.81
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.14. Testing and Operational (2026) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		—																—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	3.82	3.82	22.3	203	0.55	0.76		0.76	0.76		0.76		43,078	43,078	1.75	0.35		43,226

Onsite	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
truck																		
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	-		-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	3.82	3.82	22.3	203	0.55	0.76	_	0.76	0.76	_	0.76		43,078	43,078	1.75	0.35	_	43,226
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.32	0.32	1.89	17.2	0.05	0.06	_	0.06	0.06	_	0.06		3,659	3,659	0.15	0.03	_	3,671
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	-	—	_	_	-	—	_	-	-	—	_	_	-	-	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.06	0.06	0.35	3.15	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	606	606	0.02	< 0.005	_	608
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	—	-	—	_	—	-	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	-
Worker	0.27	0.26	0.16	2.94	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	—	387	387	0.02	0.01	1.26	393
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	9.04	9.04	< 0.005	0.91	0.91	_	124	124	< 0.005	0.02	0.31	129
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	_	-		-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-

Worker	0.21	0.20	0.18	1.78	0.00	0.00	104	104	0.00	10.4	10.4	_	328	328	0.02	0.01	0.03	332
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	9.04	9.04	< 0.005	0.91	0.91	_	124	124	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	129
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.18	0.00	0.00	8.71	8.71	0.00	0.87	0.87	-	29.9	29.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	30.3
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.76	0.76	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	-	10.5	10.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	10.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	1.59	1.59	0.00	0.16	0.16	-	4.95	4.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.02
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.14	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	1.74	1.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.81
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Mobile source emissions results are presented in Sections 2.6. No further detailed breakdown of emissions is available. 4.1.2. Mitigated

Mobile source emissions results are presented in Sections 2.5. No further detailed breakdown of emissions is available.

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants	(lb/day for daily,	ton/yr for annual)) and GHGs (lb/day for	daily, MT/yr for annual)
----------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	------------------------	--------------------------

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—		—		—	—	—	—	—

General Heavy Industry		—	—	—	—	—		—		—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_		_					_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
General Heavy Industry	—	-	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	—
General Heavy Industry		_											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO		PM10E			-	PM2.5D			NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—
General Heavy Industry		—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	—	-	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_			_	—			_
General Heavy Industry		_	_	_		_	_				_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00

Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—
General Heavy Industry		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	co	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2 5F	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use						TIMITOL				1 112.00	1 11/2.01	0002						0020
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	-	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	-	—	—
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	_		-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	—
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—		—	—	—	—		—		—	—	—		—	—	
Consum er Product s	0.00	0.00			_	_				_		_		_		_	_	

Architect Coatings	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	—	—	-	-	-	—	—	-	-	—	—	_	-
Landsca pe Equipm ent	41.8	38.6	1.98	235	0.01	0.42	_	0.42	0.32	_	0.32	_	966	966	0.04	0.01	_	970
Total	41.8	38.6	1.98	235	0.01	0.42	—	0.42	0.32	_	0.32	_	966	966	0.04	0.01	—	970
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_
Consum er Product s	0.00	0.00	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Architect ural Coating s	0.00	0.00																
Total	0.00	0.00	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	_
Annual	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_
Consum er Product s	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Architect ural Coating s	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Landsca pe Equipm ent	3.76	3.47	0.18	21.1	< 0.005	0.04		0.04	0.03		0.03		78.9	78.9	< 0.005	< 0.005		79.2
Total	3.76	3.47	0.18	21.1	< 0.005	0.04	_	0.04	0.03	-	0.03	_	78.9	78.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	79.2

4.3.2. Mitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—		—			—		—		—
Consum er Product s	0.00	0.00	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_		_	_			_
Architect ural Coating s	0.00	0.00		_	_	_				—		—		_	—			_
Landsca pe Equipm ent	41.8	38.6	1.98	235	0.01	0.42		0.42	0.32	—	0.32	—	966	966	0.04	0.01		970
Total	41.8	38.6	1.98	235	0.01	0.42	_	0.42	0.32	-	0.32	-	966	966	0.04	0.01	_	970
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	-	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	_			_
Consum er Product s	0.00	0.00	_	_	_	_		_		_		_			_			_
Architect ural Coating s	0.00	0.00	_	_	_	_		_		_		_		_	_			_
Total	0.00	0.00	—	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	—	—	—	-	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	-
Consum er Product s	0.00	0.00	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		_
Architect ural Coating s	0.00	0.00	-	-	-					_								_

Landsca Equipme		3.47	0.18	21.1	< 0.005	0.04	_	0.04	0.03	_	0.03	_	78.9	78.9	< 0.005	< 0.005		79.2
Total	3.76	3.47	0.18	21.1	< 0.005	0.04	_	0.04	0.03	_	0.03	_	78.9	78.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	79.2

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2				PM2.5E				NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	_			—		—									
General Heavy Industry		-	-	-	-	_	-		-			0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.37
Total	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.37
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
General Heavy Industry	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-			0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.37
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.37
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
General Heavy Industry		-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_			0.00	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.06
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.06

4.4.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Heavy Industry		_		—		—		—		_	—	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.37
Total	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.37
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	—	—	_	—	—	_		_		-	—	—	_		—	_
General Heavy Industry		_	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.37
Total	_		—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	0.00	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.37
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
General Heavy Industry		_								_		0.00	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.06
Total	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.06

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_
General Heavy Industry	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Heavy Industry	—	_	_	_	—	—			—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Heavy Industry	—	_	_	_	—	—			—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.5.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG		СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	—	_	—		—	—			—	—	—		—	—	—
General Heavy Industry		—	—	—		—		—		—		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—			—		—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—		—		—			_			—				—		_
General Heavy Industry		—	—	—	—	—				—		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

General	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Heavy																		
Industry																		
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO			Ī	PM10T	1			1	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	—	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_
General Heavy Industry	-	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	_	0.00	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—		—	_	—	—	—		0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_	—	_	-	_	—	—	—			—	—		-	_	_	—
General Heavy Industry	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_			—	—		_	_	0.00	0.00
Total	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	—
General Heavy Industry	—	_	_	_	_	_		_					_		_	_	0.00	0.00
Total	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	0.00	0.00

4.6.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Heavy Industry		_		—		—				—		—		—	—	—	0.00	0.00
Total	_		—	—	—	—	—		_	—		—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	—	—	_	—	—			—			_	—	—	—		_
General Heavy Industry		_	—	—	—	—	_			—			_	—	—	—	0.00	0.00
Total	_	_	-	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
General Heavy Industry	_	_	_							_							0.00	0.00
Total	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	0.00	0.00

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	—			—	—	—		—		—				—	
Total	_		_	_	_		_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_	—
Total	—	—	—	-	—	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	—
Annual	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	—

4.7.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)								—	—		—		—				—	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)				_							_						_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Daily,	ent	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
(Max)	Summer																		

Emerge Generato	0.13 r	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.7	61.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	61.9
Fire Pump	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	0.28	0.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.28
Total	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.9	61.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.1
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Emerge ncy Generat or	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.7	61.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	61.9
Fire Pump	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	0.28	0.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.28
Total	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.9	61.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.1
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Emerge ncy Generat or	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	10.3	10.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	10.3
Fire Pump	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.05
Total	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	10.3	10.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	10.4

4.8.2. Mitigated

Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—				—	—		—	_		—	—	—				—	—

Emerge ncy Generat or	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.7	61.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	61.9
Fire Pump	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	0.28	0.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.28
Total	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.9	61.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.1
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—			—
Emerge ncy Generat or	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.7	61.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	61.9
Fire Pump	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	0.28	0.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.28
Total	0.13	0.12	0.34	0.31	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	61.9	61.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	62.1
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—
Emerge ncy Generat or	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	10.3	10.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	10.3
Fire Pump	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.05
Total	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	10.3	10.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	10.4

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Equipm	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
ent																		
Туре																		

Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	—
Total	—	—	_	—	-	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	_	_	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total		_		_	_	_	_			_	_	_						—

4.9.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

					1	/		· · ·	1			/						
Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	_	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	-
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_												_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

CO2e

Vegetati on	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—		—	_	_	—		_	
Total	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—		—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—		—		—		—	—				—	—				—	
Total	—		—		—		—	—		—	_	—	—	—			—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_		_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

		· ·		,	·			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	31		· · ·						
Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—				—	—	—								—	—
Total	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)							—	—									—	
Total	—		—		—		—	—	—	—	—			—	—		—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total		_	_	_			_	_	_		_		_				_	_

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

 Species
 TOG
 ROG
 NOx
 CO
 SO2
 PM10E
 PM10D
 PM10T
 PM2.5E
 PM2.5T
 BCO2
 NBCO2
 CO2T
 CH4
 N2O

Daily, Summer (Max)			_	_								_			_			_
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Subtotal	—	-	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered	—	—	-	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	-	—	—	—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove d		-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	—	—	-	-	_	-	-	_	—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	_	_		—	—				—	_	—		_	—		—
Avoided	_	-	-	_	_	—	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Sequest ered		_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	—
Subtotal	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	—
Remove d		_	-	-	—	-	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—
_	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	-	-	_	—
Annual	—	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	-	-	_	—
Avoided	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	-	-	_	—
Subtotal	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	-	-	_	—
Sequest ered		—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove d		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	—

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetati on	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	_	—								—				—	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_				_			_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBCO2 ROG NOx СО SO2 CO2T CH4 TOG N2O CO2e Land R Use Daily, Summer (Max) Total Daily, ____ Winter (Max) Total ____ ____ _ _ ___ ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ _ Annual ____ ____ Total ____ ____

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D		BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	-	_	_	—	_	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	-	—	—	—
Sequest ered	—	—	—	-	-	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	-	-	-	-	_	-
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	—	_	—	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Avoided	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Sequest ered	—	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	_	-	-	-	_	-	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	_	_
Subtotal	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
_	—	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	—	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Avoided	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sequest ered	—		—		-		_	—	_	-	_	-	_	_		_	-	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d				—	—		—			—	_		—	_				—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—
—	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/10/2025	3/11/2025	7.00	61.0	Site Preparation
Project Construction	Building Construction	3/12/2025	7/4/2026	7.00	480	Project Construction
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Building Construction	1/10/2025	1/5/2026	7.00	361	Well Drilling and Pipeline Interconnection
Substation Development	Building Construction	1/6/2026	5/6/2026	7.00	121	Substation Development & Interconnection
Testing and Operational	Building Construction	3/27/2026	4/26/2026	7.00	31.0	Testing and Operational

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	3.00	5.00	402	0.38
Site Preparation	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	97.0	0.38
Site Preparation	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	200	0.38
Site Preparation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	8.00	4.00	350	0.38
Project Construction	Aerial Lifts	Diesel	Average	8.00	6.00	160	0.31

Project Construction	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	97.0	0.38
Project Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	160	0.29
Project Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	7.00	8.00	89.0	0.20
Project Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.74
Project Construction	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	187	0.41
Project Construction	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	402	0.38
Project Construction	Rubber Tired Loaders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	203	0.36
Project Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	97.0	0.37
Project Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	15.0	6.00	46.0	0.45
Project Construction	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	350	0.38
Project Construction	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	15.0	4.00	245	0.38
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	2.00	12.0	27.0	0.74
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Bore/Drill Rigs	Diesel	Average	1.00	24.0	500	0.50
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Pumps	Diesel	Average	1.00	24.0	500	0.74
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	24.0	415	0.74
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	8.00	1.00	450	0.38
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Cranes	Diesel	Average	2.00	5.00	231	0.29
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	97.0	0.37
Vell Drilling and Pipeline	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	89.0	0.20
Vell Drilling and Pipeline	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	10.0	385	0.38
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	428	0.38

Well Drilling and Pipeline	Other Material Handling Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	100	0.40
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	4.00	4.00	350	0.38
Substation Development	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	231	0.29
Substation Development	Bore/Drill Rigs	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	221	0.50
Substation Development	Aerial Lifts	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	63.0	0.31
Substation Development	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	2.00	4.00	402	0.38
Substation Development	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	97.0	0.37
Substation Development	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.20
Substation Development	Trenchers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	13.0	0.50
Substation Development	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	84.0	0.74
Substation Development	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	5.00	4.00	350	0.38
Testing and Operational	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	24.0	671	0.74
Testing and Operational	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	2.00	12.0	27.0	0.74
Testing and Operational	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	2.00	12.0	9.00	0.74
Testing and Operational	Pumps	Diesel	Average	1.00	24.0	115	0.74
Testing and Operational	Pumps	Diesel	Average	1.00	24.0	415	0.74
Testing and Operational	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	350	0.38

5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	8.00	5.00	402	0.38
Site Preparation	Excavators	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	97.0	0.38
Site Preparation	Rollers	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	8.00	200	0.38
Site Preparation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	8.00	4.00	350	0.38
Project Construction	Aerial Lifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	8.00	6.00	160	0.31
Project Construction	Excavators	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	97.0	0.38
Project Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	6.00	160	0.29
Project Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	7.00	8.00	89.0	0.20
Project Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.74
Project Construction	Graders	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	187	0.41
Project Construction	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	15.0	8.00	402	0.38
Project Construction	Rubber Tired Loaders	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	203	0.36
Project Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	97.0	0.37
Project Construction	Welders	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	15.0	6.00	46.0	0.45
Project Construction	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	15.0	4.00	350	0.38
Project Construction	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	15.0	4.00	245	0.38
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	12.0	27.0	0.74
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Generator Sets	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	12.0	27.0	0.74
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Bore/Drill Rigs	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	24.0	500	0.50
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Pumps	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	24.0	500	0.74
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Generator Sets	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	24.0	415	0.74

Well Drilling and Pipeline	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	4.00	1.00	450	0.38
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	4.00	1.00	450	0.38
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Cranes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	5.00	231	0.29
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	97.0	0.37
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Forklifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	89.0	0.20
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	4.00	10.0	385	0.38
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	4.00	4.00	428	0.38
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Other Material Handling Equipment	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	4.00	100	0.40
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	4.00	4.00	350	0.38
Substation Development	Cranes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	231	0.29
Substation Development	Bore/Drill Rigs	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	221	0.50
Substation Development	Aerial Lifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	8.00	63.0	0.31
Substation Development	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	5.00	4.00	402	0.38
Substation Development	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	97.0	0.37
Substation Development	Forklifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.20
Substation Development	Trenchers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	13.0	0.50
Substation Development	Generator Sets	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	8.00	84.0	0.74

Substation Development	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	5.00	4.00	350	0.38
Testing and Operational	Generator Sets	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	24.0	671	0.74
Testing and Operational	Generator Sets	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	12.0	27.0	0.74
Testing and Operational	Generator Sets	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	12.0	9.00	0.74
Testing and Operational	Pumps	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	24.0	115	0.74
Testing and Operational	Pumps	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	24.0	415	0.74
Testing and Operational	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	4.00	350	0.38

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	—	_	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	10.0	11.9	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	7.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	_	—	HHDT
Well Drilling and Pipeline	—		—	
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Vendor	10.0	11.9	HHDT,MHDT
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Onsite truck	_	—	HHDT
Project Construction	—		—	
Project Construction	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2

Project Construction	Vendor	40.0	225	HHDT,MHDT
Project Construction	Hauling	2.00	20.0	HHDT
Project Construction	Onsite truck		_	HHDT
Substation Development	—		_	_
Substation Development	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Substation Development	Vendor	10.0	11.9	HHDT,MHDT
Substation Development	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Substation Development	Onsite truck	_	—	HHDT
Testing and Operational	—	—	—	—
Testing and Operational	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Testing and Operational	Vendor	4.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Testing and Operational	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Testing and Operational	Onsite truck	_		HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	10.0	11.9	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	7.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Well Drilling and Pipeline	—	—	—	—
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Vendor	10.0	11.9	HHDT,MHDT
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Well Drilling and Pipeline	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Project Construction	—	—	—	—
Project Construction	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2

Project Construction	Vendor	40.0	225	HHDT,MHDT
Project Construction	Hauling	2.00	20.0	HHDT
Project Construction	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Substation Development	—	_	—	—
Substation Development	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Substation Development	Vendor	10.0	11.9	HHDT,MHDT
Substation Development	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Substation Development	Onsite truck	_	—	HHDT
Testing and Operational	_	_	—	
Testing and Operational	Worker	46.0	10.2	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Testing and Operational	Vendor	4.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Testing and Operational	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Testing and Operational	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water unpaved roads twice daily	55%	55%
Limit vehicle speeds on unpaved roads to 25 mph	44%	44%
Sweep paved roads once per month	9%	9%

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area	Residential Exterior Area	Non-Residential Interior Area	Non-Residential Exterior Area	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
	Coated (sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Ton of Debris)	Material Exported (Ton of Debris)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Site Preparation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	2	61%	61%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2025	0.00	457	0.03	< 0.005
2026	0.00	457	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Total all Land Uses	6.00	3.00	3.00	1,877	61.2	30.6	30.6	19,147

5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Total all Land Uses	6.00	3.00	3.00	1,877	61.2	30.6	30.6	19,147

5.10. Operational Area Sources

- 5.10.1. Hearths
- 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
- 5.10.1.2. Mitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)		Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
General Heavy Industry	0.00	457	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
General Heavy Industry	0.00	457	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
General Heavy Industry	0.00	118,625

5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
General Heavy Industry	0.00	118,625

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
General Heavy Industry	0.00	_

5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
---------------------------	-------------------------

General Heavy Industry	0.00	-
------------------------	------	---

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
General Heavy Industry	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	0.00	4.00	4.00	18.0

5.14.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
General Heavy Industry	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	0.00	4.00	4.00	18.0

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.15.2. Mitigated

Equipment Type Fuel Type	e Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
--------------------------	---------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
Emergency Generator	Diesel	1.00	0.14	50.0	540	0.73
Fire Pump	Diesel	1.00	0.11	40.0	3.00	0.73

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
5.17. User Defined					
Equipment Type			Fuel Type		
5.18. Vegetation					

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

		Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--	--	--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type			

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Niomass Cover Type Initial Acres F	Final Acres
------------------------------------	-------------

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Biomass Cover Type Initial Acres Final Acres	
--	--

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type Number Electricity Saved (kWh/year) Na	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
--	------------------------------

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

Tree Type Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	28.2	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	0.10	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	_	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	0.00	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi. Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ³/₄ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (Radke et al., 2017, CEC-500-2017-008), and consider inundation location and depth for the San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and California coast resulting different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four scenarios to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four scenarios are: No rise, 0.5 meter, 1.0 meter, 1.41 meters Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Wildfire	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	0	0	0	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wildfire	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	1	1	1	2
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	65.7
AQ-PM	48.7
AQ-DPM	30.1
Drinking Water	57.2
Lead Risk Housing	30.7
Pesticides	89.5
Toxic Releases	46.0
Traffic	8.75
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	50.3
Groundwater	74.8
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	86.6
Impaired Water Bodies	99.5
Solid Waste	95.0
Sensitive Population	_
Asthma	68.5
Cardio-vascular	89.4
Low Birth Weights	20.3
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	73.4
Housing	39.7
Linguistic	85.2
Poverty	72.1
Unemployment	65.6

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	24.4193507
Employed	22.93083537
Median HI	21.92993712
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	23.23880405
High school enrollment	14.0639035
Preschool enrollment	58.10342615
Transportation	—
Auto Access	48.80020531
Active commuting	25.67688952
Social	—
2-parent households	77.12049275
Voting	20.99319902
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	67.0986783
Park access	38.22661363
Retail density	7.955857821
Supermarket access	24.95829591
Tree canopy	1.424355191
Housing	—
Homeownership	51.98254844
Housing habitability	38.4832542
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	37.62350828
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	23.55960477

Uncrowded housing	28.33311947
Health Outcomes	_
Insured adults	30.39907609
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	42.3
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	90.7
Cognitively Disabled	19.2
Physically Disabled	15.4
Heart Attack ER Admissions	7.5
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	39.5
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	_
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0

Children	33.8
Elderly	39.7
English Speaking	4.1
Foreign-born	93.6
Outdoor Workers	18.3
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	72.6
Traffic Density	16.8
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	80.6
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	0.0

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	84.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	26.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state. b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Land Use	—
Construction: Construction Phases	Project schedule per ORMAT 7/8/2024 - overlapping phases with work assumed to be conducted 7 days/week
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Project equipment and usage per ORMAT Project description based on experience with similar projects. Building Construction-"Well Drilling and Pipeline" - note that "Other Material Handling Equipment" is specified for Concrete Pumper
Construction: Trips and VMT	Vehicle trips per ORMAT Project Description based on experience with similar projects. Vendor/haul trips based on amount of material and equipment expected to be delivered/hauled to/from Project site. Delivery of materials for geothermal plant assumed to be sourced from Port of Long Beach area approximately 225 miles away. All other trip lengths are CalEEMod defaults for project area. Worker trips generation rate is calculated for the expected maximum of 15 workers traveling to/from the Project site on any given day at roughly 3 trips/worker (assumed 50 percent of 15 workers leave/return once during the day) for a total of 46 trips, and 2 trips/vehicle (in/out) for vendor and haul trips.
Construction: On-Road Fugitive Dust	All travel routes to Project site are paved, only onsite work is unpaved. Per discussions with ICAPCD, a maximum of 85% paved is input for all construction activities (note that all access routes to project site are paved)
Operations: Road Dust	All travel routes to project site area paved - only onsite access is unpaved. Per discussions with ICAPCD, 85% paved access to Project areas is assumed - note that all access routes to project site are paved.
Operations: Consumer Products	The Project does not include additional use of consumer products, is not a city park/golf course, and does not have any paved parking areas
Operations: Architectural Coatings	Assume no architectural coating reapplication required for Project operations.
Operations: Energy Use	All electricity required for operations would be generated by solar plants and geothermal energy production. No energy from the grid would be required.
Operations: Water and Waste Water	Per Project description, 325 gpd of non-potable water is required for operations and sourced from existing IID allocation. Non-potable water from IID does not require treatment - assume 0 kWh/Mgal for Treat and Treatment. Wastewater to wastewater treatment system is assumed to be negligible.

Operations: Solid Waste	Project operations solid waste generation is negligible.
Operations: Refrigerants	No refrigerants proposed as part of Project operations.
Operations: Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps	Per ORMAT based on expected onsite project emergency equipment sizing and usage to comply with maintenance regulations.